

Pointwise products of some Banach function spaces and factorization

Paweł Kolwicz*, Karol Leśnik* and Lech Maligranda

Abstract

The well-known factorization theorem of Lozanovskii may be written in the form $L^1 \equiv E \odot E'$, where $\odot$ means the pointwise product of Banach ideal spaces. A natural generalization of this problem would be the question when one can factorize F through E , i.e., when $F \equiv E \odot M(E, F)$, where $M(E, F)$ is the space of pointwise multipliers from E to F . Properties of $M(E, F)$ were investigated in our earlier paper [KLM12] and here we collect and prove some properties of the construction $E \odot F$. The formulas for pointwise product of Calderón-Lozanovskii E_φ spaces, Lorentz spaces and Marcinkiewicz spaces are proved. These results are then used to prove factorization theorems for these spaces. Finally, it is proved in Theorem 11 that under some natural assumptions, a rearrangement invariant Banach function space may be factorized through Marcinkiewicz space.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The well-known factorization theorem of Lozanovskii says that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ each $z \in L^1$ can be factorized by $x \in E$ and $y \in E'$ in such a way that

$$z = xy \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|_E \|y\|_{E'} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|z\|_{L^1}.$$

Moreover, if E has the Fatou property we may take $\varepsilon = 0$ in the above inequality. This theorem can be written in the form $L^1 \equiv E \odot E'$, where

$$E \odot F = \{x \cdot y : x \in E \text{ and } y \in F\}.$$

Then natural question arises: when is it possible to factorize F through E , i.e., when

$$F \equiv E \odot M(E, F)? \tag{1}$$

Here $M(E, F)$ denotes the space of multipliers defined as

$$M(E, F) = \{x \in L^0 : xy \in F \text{ for each } y \in E\}$$

*Research partially supported by the State Committee for Scientific Research, Poland, Grant N N201 362236

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46E30, 46B20, 46B42, 46A45

Key words and phrases: Banach ideal spaces, Banach function spaces, Calderón spaces, Calderón-Lozanovskii spaces, symmetric spaces, Orlicz spaces, sequence spaces, pointwise multipliers, pointwise multiplication, factorization

with the operator norm

$$\|x\|_{M(E,F)} = \sup_{\|y\|_E=1} \|xy\|_F.$$

The space of multipliers between function spaces was investigated by many authors, see for example [Ru79], [MP89] and [AZ90] (see also [Za66], [ZR67], [Cr72], [Ma74], [AS76], [Ma89], [Ra92], [Na95], [DR00], [CN03], [CDS08], [MN10], [Sc10] and [KLM12]). In this paper we are going to investigate general properties of the product construction $E \odot F$ and calculate the product space $E \odot F$ for Calderón-Lozanovskii, Lorentz and Marcinkiewicz spaces. This product space was of interest in [An60], [Wa63], [ON65], [ZR67], [Da74], [Ru79], [Ma89], [RR91], [Ra92], [BL93], [DMM03], [AM09], [KM10] and [Sc10]. The results on product construction will be used to give answers to the factorization question (1) in these special spaces.

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a complete σ -finite measure space and $L^0 = L^0(\Omega)$ be the space of all classes of μ -measurable real-valued functions defined on Ω . A (quasi-) Banach space $E = (E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is said to be a (*quasi-*) *Banach ideal space* on Ω if E is a linear subspace of $L^0(\Omega)$ and satisfies the so-called *ideal property*, which means that if $y \in E$, $x \in L^0$ and $|x(t)| \leq |y(t)|$ for μ -almost all $t \in \Omega$, then $x \in E$ and $\|x\|_E \leq \|y\|_E$. We will also assume that a (*quasi-*) *Banach ideal space* on Ω is saturated, i.e. every $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ has a subset $B \in \Sigma$ of finite positive measure for which $\chi_B \in E$. The last statement is equivalent with the existence of a *weak unit*, i.e., an element $x \in E$ such that $x(t) > 0$ for each $t \in \Omega$ (see [KA77] and [Ma89]). If the measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) is non-atomic we should say about (*quasi-*) *Banach function space*, if we replace the measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) by the counting measure space $(\mathbb{N}, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, m)$, then we say that E is a (*quasi-*) *Banach sequence space* (denoted by e).

A point $x \in E$ is said to have *order continuous norm* (or to be *order continuous element*) if for each sequence $(x_n) \subset E$ satisfying $0 \leq x_n \leq |x|$ and $x_n \rightarrow 0$ μ -a.e. on Ω , one has $\|x_n\|_E \rightarrow 0$. By E_a we denote the subspace of all order continuous elements of E . It is worth to notice that in case of Banach ideal spaces on Ω , $x \in E_a$ if and only if $\|x\chi_{A_n}\|_E \downarrow 0$ for any sequence $\{A_n\}$ satisfying $A_n \searrow \emptyset$ (that is $A_n \supset A_{n+1}$ and $\mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = 0$). A Banach ideal space E is called *order continuous* if every element of E is order continuous, i.e., $E = E_a$.

A space E has the *Fatou property* if $0 \leq x_n \uparrow x \in L^0$ with $x_n \in E$ and $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\|_E < \infty$ imply that $x \in E$ and $\|x_n\|_E \uparrow \|x\|_E$.

We shall consider pointwise product of Calderón-Lozanovskii spaces E_φ (which are generalizations of Orlicz spaces L^φ), but very useful will be also general Calderón-Lozanovskii construction $\rho(E, F)$.

A function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a *Young function* (or an *Orlicz function* if it is finite-valued) if φ is convex, non-decreasing with $\varphi(0) = 0$; we assume also that φ is neither identically zero nor identically infinity on $(0, \infty)$ and $\lim_{u \rightarrow b_\varphi^-} \varphi(u) = \varphi(b_\varphi)$ if $b_\varphi < \infty$, where $b_\varphi = \sup\{u > 0 : \varphi(u) < \infty\}$.

Note that from the convexity of φ and the equality $\varphi(0) = 0$ it follows that $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(u) = \varphi(0) = 0$. Furthermore, from the convexity and $\varphi \not\equiv 0$ we obtain that $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(u) = \infty$.

If we denote $a_\varphi = \sup\{u \geq 0 : \varphi(u) = 0\}$, then $0 \leq a_\varphi \leq b_\varphi \leq \infty$ and $a_\varphi < \infty$, $b_\varphi > 0$, since a Young function is neither identically zero nor identically infinity on $(0, \infty)$. The

function φ is continuous and nondecreasing on $[0, b_\varphi)$ and is strictly increasing on $[a_\varphi, b_\varphi)$. If $a_\varphi = 0$ then we write $\varphi > 0$, if $b_\varphi = \infty$, then $\varphi < \infty$. Each Young function φ defines the function $\rho_\varphi : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ in the following way

$$\rho_\varphi(u, v) = \begin{cases} v\varphi^{-1}(\frac{u}{v}) & \text{if } u > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } u = 0, \end{cases}$$

where φ^{-1} denotes the right-continuous inverse of φ and is defined by

$$\varphi^{-1}(v) = \inf\{u \geq 0 : \varphi(u) > v\} \text{ for } v \in [0, \infty) \text{ with } \varphi^{-1}(\infty) = \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^{-1}(v).$$

If $\rho = \rho_\varphi$ and E, F are Banach ideal spaces over the same measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) , then the *Calderón-Lozanovskii space* $\rho(E, F)$ is defined as all $z \in L^0(\Omega)$ such that for some $x \in E, y \in F$ with $\|x\|_E \leq 1, \|y\|_F \leq 1$ and for some $\lambda > 0$ we have

$$|z| \leq \lambda \rho(|x|, |y|) \quad \mu - \text{a.e. on } \Omega.$$

The norm $\|z\|_\rho = \|z\|_{\rho(E, F)}$ of an element $z \in \rho(E, F)$ is defined as the infimum values of λ for which the above inequality holds. It can be shown that

$$\rho(E, F) = \{z \in L^0(\Omega) : |z| \leq \rho(x, y) \quad \mu - \text{a.e. for some } x \in E_+, y \in F_+\}$$

with the norm

$$\|z\|_{\rho(E, F)} = \inf \{ \max \{ \|x\|_E, \|y\|_F \} : |z| \leq \rho(x, y), x \in E_+, y \in F_+ \}. \quad (2)$$

The Calderón-Lozanovskii spaces, introduced by Calderón in [Ca64] and developed by Lozanovskii in [Lo71], [Lo73], [Lo78a] and [Lo78b], play crucial role in the theory of interpolation since such construction is interpolation functor for positive operators and under some additional assumptions on spaces E, F , like Fatou property or separability, also for all linear operators (see [Ov76], [Ov84], [KPS82], [Ma89]). If $\rho(u, v) = u^\theta v^{1-\theta}$ with $0 < \theta < 1$ we write $E^\theta F^{1-\theta}$ instead of $\rho(E, F)$ and these are Calderón spaces (cf. [Ca64], p. 122). Another important situation, investigated by Calderón (cf. [Ca64], p. 121) and independently by Lozanovskii (cf. [Lo64, Theorem 2], [Lo65, Theorem 2]), appears when we put $F \equiv L^\infty$. In this case, in the definition of the norm, it is enough to take $y = \chi_\Omega$ and then

$$\|z\|_{\rho_\varphi(E, L^\infty)} = \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : \|\varphi(|x|/\lambda)\|_E \leq 1 \}. \quad (3)$$

Thus the *Calderón-Lozanovskii space* $E_\varphi = \rho_\varphi(E, L^\infty)$ for any Young function φ is defined by

$$E_\varphi = \{x \in L^0 : I_\varphi(cx) < \infty \text{ for some } c = c(x) > 0\},$$

and it is a Banach ideal space on Ω with the so-called *Luxemburg-Nakano norm*

$$\|x\|_{E_\varphi} = \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : I_\varphi(x/\lambda) \leq 1 \},$$

where the convex semimodular I_φ is defined as

$$I_\varphi(x) := \begin{cases} \|\varphi(|x|)\|_E & \text{if } \varphi(|x|) \in E, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $E = L^1$ ($E = l^1$), then E_φ is the classical *Orlicz function (sequence) space* L^φ (l^φ) equipped with the Luxemburg-Nakano norm (cf. [KR61], [Ma89]). If E is a Lorentz function (sequence) space Λ_w (λ_w), then E_φ is the corresponding *Orlicz-Lorentz function (sequence) space* $\Lambda_{\varphi,w}$ ($\lambda_{\varphi,w}$), equipped with the Luxemburg-Nakano norm. On the other hand, if $\varphi(u) = u^p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then E_φ is the *p-converxification* $E^{(p)}$ of E with the norm $\|x\|_{E^{(p)}} = \| |x|^p \|_E^{1/p}$. In case $0 < p < 1$, we will say about *p-concavification* of E .

For two ideal (quasi-) Banach spaces E and F on Ω the symbol $E \xrightarrow{C} F$ means that the embedding $E \subset F$ is continuous with the norm which is not bigger than C , i.e., $\|x\|_F \leq C\|x\|_E$ for all $x \in E$. In the case when the embedding $E \xrightarrow{C} F$ holds with some (unknown) constant $C > 0$ we simply write $E \hookrightarrow F$. Moreover, $E = F$ (and $E \equiv F$) means that the spaces are the same and the norms are equivalent (equal).

We will also need some facts from the theory of symmetric spaces. By a *symmetric function space* (symmetric Banach function space or rearrangement invariant Banach function space) on I , where $I = (0, 1)$ or $I = (0, \infty)$ with the Lebesgue measure m , we mean a Banach ideal space $E = (E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ with the additional property that for any two equimeasurable functions $x \sim y$, $x, y \in L^0(I)$ (that is, they have the same distribution functions $d_x \equiv d_y$, where $d_x(\lambda) = m(\{t \in I : |x(t)| > \lambda\})$, $\lambda \geq 0$) and $x \in E$ we have that $y \in E$ and $\|x\|_E = \|y\|_E$. In particular, $\|x\|_E = \|x^*\|_E$, where $x^*(t) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : d_x(\lambda) < t\}$, $t \geq 0$. Similarly, if e is a Banach sequence space with the above property, then we say about *symmetric sequence space*. It is worth to point out that any Banach ideal space with this property is equivalent to a symmetric space over one of the above three measure spaces (cf. [LT79]).

The *fundamental function* f_E of a symmetric function space E on I is defined by the formula $f_E(t) = \|\chi_{[0,t]}\|_E$, $t \in I$. It is well-known that each fundamental function is quasi-concave on I , that is, $f_E(0) = 0$, $f_E(t)$ is positive, non-decreasing and $f_E(t)/t$ is non-increasing for $t \in (0, m(I))$ or, equivalently, $f_E(t) \leq \max(1, t/s)f_E(s)$ for all $s, t \in (0, m(I))$. Moreover, for each fundamental function f_E , there is an equivalent, concave function $\tilde{f}_E$, defined by $\tilde{f}_E(t) := \inf_{s \in (0, m(I))} (1 + \frac{t}{s})f_E(s)$. Then $f_E(t) \leq \tilde{f}_E(t) \leq 2f_E(t)$ for all $t \in I$. For any quasi-concave function ϕ on I the *Marcinkiewicz function space* M_ϕ is defined by the norm

$$\|x\|_{M_\phi} = \sup_{t \in I} \phi(t) x^{**}(t), \quad x^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t x^*(s) ds.$$

This is a symmetric Banach function space on I with the fundamental function $f_{M_\phi}(t) = \phi(t)$ and $E \xrightarrow{1} M_{f_E}$ since

$$x^{**}(t) \leq \frac{1}{t} \|x^*\|_E \|\chi_{[0,t]}\|_{E'} = \|x\|_E \frac{1}{f_E(t)} \text{ for any } t \in I, \quad (4)$$

(see, for example, [KPS82] or [BS88]). Although the fundamental function of a symmetric function space E need not be concave, there always exists equivalent norm on E for which new fundamental function is concave (cf. Zippin [Zi71], Lemma 2.1). Then for a symmetric function space E with the concave fundamental function f_E there is also the smallest symmetric space with the same fundamental function. This space is the *Lorentz*

function space given by the norm

$$\|x\|_{\Lambda_{f_E}} = \int_I x^*(t) df_E(t) = f_E(0^+) \|x\|_{L^\infty(I)} + \int_I x^*(t) f'_E(t) dt.$$

Then the embeddings

$$\Lambda_{f_E} \xhookrightarrow{1} E \xhookrightarrow{1} M_{f_E} \quad (5)$$

are satisfied, where f_E is the fundamental functions of E .

More information about Banach ideal spaces, quasi-Banach ideal spaces, symmetric Banach and quasi-Banach spaces can be found, for example, in [KA77], [LT79], [KPR84], [JMST], [KPS82] and [BS88].

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 1 some necessary definitions and notations are collected including the Calderón-Lozanovskii E_φ -spaces. In Section 2 the product space $E \odot F$ is defined and some general results are presented. We prove important representation of $E \odot F$ as $\frac{1}{2}$ -concavification of the Calderón space $E^{1/2}F^{1/2}$, i.e., $E \odot F \equiv (E^{1/2}F^{1/2})^{(1/2)}$. Such an equality was used by Schep in [Sc10] but without any explanation, which seems to be not so evident. Then we present some properties of $E \odot F$ that follow from this representation. In particular, the symmetry is proved and formula for the fundamental function of the product space is given $f_{E \odot F}(t) = f_E(t)f_F(t)$. We finish Section 2 with some sufficient conditions on E and F that $E \odot F$ is a Banach space (not only a quasi-Banach space).

In Section 3 we collected properties connecting product spaces with the space of multipliers. There is a proof of cancellation property of product operation for multipliers $M(E \odot F, E \odot G) \equiv M(F, G)$.

Section 4 is devoted to products of the Calderón-Lozanovskii spaces of the type E_φ as improvement of results on products known for Orlicz spaces L^φ proved by Ando [An60], Wang [Wa63] and O'Neil [ON65]. The inclusion $E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2} \hookrightarrow E_\varphi$ follows from the results proved in [KLM12]. The reverse inclusion $E_\varphi \hookrightarrow E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2}$ is investigated here and we improve the sufficient and necessary conditions which were given in the case of Orlicz spaces by Zabreiko-Rutickii [ZR], Dankert [Da] and Maligranda [Ma89]. Combining the above two inclusions we obtain conditions on equality $E_\varphi = E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2}$. For example, for two Young functions φ_1, φ_2 we always have $E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2} = E_\varphi$, where $\varphi = \varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2$ is defined by

$$(\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2)(u) = \inf_{u=vw} [\varphi_1(v) + \varphi_2(w)].$$

In Section 5 we deal with the product space of Lorentz and Marcinkiewicz spaces. The products of those spaces are calculated. One of the main tools in the proof is commutativity of Calderón construction with the symmetrizations (cf. Lemma 4).

Section 6 starts with some general discussion about factorization. We prove that so-called E -perfectness of F is necessary for the factorization $F \equiv E \odot M(E, F)$. The rest of this section is divided into two parts. The first is devoted to factorization of the Calderón-Lozanovskii E_φ -spaces. Using the results from Section 4 and the paper [KLM12] we examine when E_φ can be factorized through E_{φ_1} . In the second part we investigate possibility of factorization for Lorentz and Marcinkiewicz spaces. Finally, in Theorem 11 there is proved that under some natural assumptions a rearrangement invariant Banach

function X space may be factorized through Marcinkiewicz space and by duality, Lorentz space may be factorized through a rearrangement invariant Banach function space X .

2. On the product space $E \odot F$

Given two Banach ideal spaces (real or complex) E and F on (Ω, Σ, μ) define the *pointwise product space* $E \odot F$ as

$$E \odot F = \{x \cdot y : x \in E \text{ and } y \in F\}.$$

with a functional $\|\cdot\|_{E \odot F}$ defined by the formula

$$\|z\|_{E \odot F} = \inf \{\|x\|_E \|y\|_F : z = xy, x \in E, y \in F\}. \quad (6)$$

We will show in the sequel that $E \odot F$ is, in general, a quasi-Banach ideal space even if both E and F are Banach ideal spaces. Let us collect some general properties of the product space and its norm.

Proposition 1. *If E and F are Banach ideal spaces on (Ω, Σ, μ) , then $E \odot F$ has an ideal property. Moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\|_{E \odot F} &= \| |z| \|_{E \odot F} \\ &= \inf \{\|x\|_E \|y\|_F : |z| = xy, x \in E_+, y \in F_+\} \\ &= \inf \{\|x\|_E \|y\|_F : |z| \leq xy, x \in E_+, y \in F_+\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We show first that $\|z\|_{E \odot F} = \| |z| \|_{E \odot F}$. If $z = xy$ with $x \in E, y \in F$, then $|z| = ze^{i\theta} = xye^{i\theta}$, where $\theta : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and

$$\| |z| \|_{E \odot F} \leq \|xe^{i\theta}\|_E \|y\|_F = \|x\|_E \|y\|_F.$$

Hence, $\| |z| \|_{E \odot F} \leq \|z\|_{E \odot F}$. Similarly, if $|z| = xy$ with $x \in E, y \in F$, then $z = |z|e^{-i\theta} = xye^{-i\theta}$ and

$$\|z\|_{E \odot F} \leq \|xe^{-i\theta}\|_E \|y\|_F = \|x\|_E \|y\|_F,$$

from which we obtain the estimate $\|z\|_{E \odot F} \leq \| |z| \|_{E \odot F}$. Combining these above estimates we obtain $\|z\|_{E \odot F} = \| |z| \|_{E \odot F}$.

To show the ideal property of $E \odot F$ assume that $z \in E \odot F$ and $|w| \leq |z|$. By definition for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find $x \in E, y \in F$ such that $z = xy$ and $\|x\|_E \|y\|_F \leq \|z\|_{E \odot F} + \varepsilon$. We set $h(t) = \frac{w(t)}{z(t)}$ if $z(t) \neq 0$ and $h(t) = 0$ if $z(t) = 0$. Then $w = hz = hxy$ and since $|hx| \leq |x|$ we have $w = hxy \in E \odot F$ with

$$\|w\|_{E \odot F} \leq \|hx\|_E \|y\|_F \leq \|x\|_E \|y\|_F \leq \|z\|_{E \odot F} + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we have $\|w\|_{E \odot F} \leq \|z\|_{E \odot F}$. Note that in the above proofs we needed only ideal property of one of the spaces E or F .

Next, if $|z(t)| = x(t)y(t), t \in \Omega$, then taking $x_0 = |x|, y_0 = |y|$ we obtain $x_0 \geq 0, y_0 \geq 0, x_0 y_0 = |x| |y| = |xy| = |z|$, which gives the proof of the second equality. The proof of the third equality follows from the fact that if $0 \leq x \in E, 0 \leq y \in F$ and $|z| \leq xy$, then $|z| = uxy = x_0 y_0$, where $x_0 = ux, y_0 = y$ and $u = \frac{|z|}{xy}$ on the support of xy and $u = 0$ elsewhere. Since $0 \leq u \leq 1$ it follows that $x_0 \leq x, y_0 \leq y$ and this proves (the non-trivial part of) the last equality. $\square$

Proposition 1 shows that in investigation of product space it is enough to consider real spaces, therefore from now we will consider only real Banach ideal spaces.

The product space can be described with the help of the Calderón construction. To come to this result we first prove some description of $E^{1/p}F^{1-1/p}$ spaces and p -convexification. Let us start in Theorem 1(i) below with a reformulation of Lemma 31 from [KL10].

Theorem 1. *Let E and F be a couple of Banach ideal spaces on (Ω, Σ, μ) .*

(i) *If $1 < p < \infty$ and $z \in E^{1/p}F^{1-1/p}$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\|_{E^{1/p}F^{1-1/p}} &= \inf \left\{ \max \{ \|x\|_E, \|y\|_F \} : |z| = x^{1/p}y^{1-1/p}, x \in E_+, y \in F_+ \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \max \{ \|x\|_E, \|y\|_F \} : |z| = x^{1/p}y^{1-1/p}, \|x\|_E = \|y\|_F, x \in E_+, y \in F_+ \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If $1 < p < \infty$, then*

$$E^{(p)} \odot F^{(p')} \equiv E^{1/p}F^{1-1/p}, \text{ where } 1/p + 1/p' = 1.$$

(iii) *For $0 < p < \infty$, $(E \odot F)^{(p)} \equiv E^{(p)} \odot F^{(p)}$.*

(iv) *We have*

$$E \odot F \equiv (E^{1/2}F^{1/2})^{(1/2)}, \quad (7)$$

that is,

$$\|z\|_{E \odot F} = \inf \left\{ \max \{ \|x\|_E^2, \|y\|_F^2 \} : |z| = xy, \|x\|_E = \|y\|_F, x \in E_+, y \in F_+ \right\}. \quad (8)$$

Proof. (i) Let $z \in E^{1/p}F^{1-1/p}$ and $z = x^{1/p}y^{1-1/p}$, where $0 \leq x \in E, 0 \leq y \in F$. Suppose $\frac{\|x\|_E}{\|y\|_F} = a > 1$ (for $0 < a < 1$ proof is similar). Put

$$x_1 = a^{-(1-1/p)} x, y_1 = a^{\frac{1}{p}} y.$$

Then $\|x_1\|_E = \|x\|_E^{1/p} \|y\|_F^{1-1/p} = \|y_1\|_F$ and $z = x_1^{1/p} y_1^{1-1/p}$. Of course,

$$\max \{ \|x_1\|_E, \|y_1\|_F \} \leq \max \{ \|x\|_E, \|y\|_F \},$$

which ends the proof.

(ii) If $z \in E^{(p)} \odot F^{(p')}$, then using Proposition 1 and definition of p -convexification we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\|_{E^{(p)} \odot F^{(p')}} &= \inf \left\{ \|g\|_{E^{(p)}} \|h\|_{F^{(p')}} : |z| = gh, 0 \leq g \in E^{(p)}, 0 \leq h \in F^{(p')} \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \|x\|_E^{1/p} \|y\|_F^{1-1/p} : |z| = x^{1/p} y^{1-1/p}, x \in E_+, y \in F_+ \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and using Theorem 1(i) to the last expression we get

$$\inf_{a>0} \left[\inf \left\{ \|x\|_E^{1/p} \|y\|_F^{1-1/p} : |z| = x^{1/p} y^{1-1/p}, \frac{\|x\|_E}{\|y\|_F} = a, x \in E_+, y \in F_+ \right\} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \inf_{a>0} \left[\inf \left\{ a^{1/p} \|u\|_E^{1/p} \|y\|_F^{1-1/p} : |z| = a^{1/p} u^{1/p} y^{1-1/p}, \|u\|_E = \|y\|_F, u \in E_+, y \in F_+ \right\} \right] \\
&= \inf_{a>0} \left[a^{1/p} \inf \left\{ \|u\|_E : \frac{|z|}{a^{1/p}} = u^{1/p} y^{1-1/p}, \|u\|_E = \|y\|_F, u \in E_+, y \in F_+ \right\} \right] \\
&= \inf_{a>0} \left[a^{1/p} \left\| \frac{z}{a^{1/p}} \right\|_{E^{1/p} F^{1-1/p}} \right] = \|z\|_{E^{1/p} F^{1-1/p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) One has

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z\|_{(E \odot F)^{(p)}} &= \| |z|^p \|_{E \odot F}^{1/p} \\
&= \inf \left\{ \|x\|_E^{1/p} \|y\|_F^{1/p} : |z|^p = xy, x \in E_+, y \in F_+ \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ \|u^p\|_E^{1/p} \|v^p\|_F^{1/p} : |z|^p = u^p v^p, u \in E_+^{(p)}, v \in F_+^{(p)} \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{E^{(p)}} \|v\|_{F^{(p)}} : |z| = uv, u \in E_+^{(p)}, v \in F_+^{(p)} \right\} \\
&= \|z\|_{E^{(p)} \odot F^{(p)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

(iv) The proof is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1(ii) and (iii) since

$$E \odot F \equiv \left((E \odot F)^{(2)} \right)^{(1/2)} \equiv (E^{1/2} F^{1/2})^{(1/2)}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z\|_{E \odot F} &= \|z\|_{(E^{1/2} F^{1/2})^{(1/2)}} = (\| \sqrt{|z|} \|_{E^{1/2} F^{1/2}})^2 \\
&= \left[\inf \left\{ \max \{ \|x\|_E, \|y\|_F \} : \sqrt{|z|} = \sqrt{xy}, \|x\|_E = \|y\|_F, x \in E_+, y \in F_+ \right\} \right]^2 \\
&= \inf \left\{ \max \{ \|x\|_E^2, \|y\|_F^2 \} : |z| = xy, \|x\|_E = \|y\|_F, x \in E_+, y \in F_+ \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. $\square$

As a consequence of the representation (7) we obtain the following results:

Corollary 1. *Let E and F be a couple of Banach ideal spaces on (Ω, Σ, μ) .*

(i) *Then $E \odot F$ is a quasi-Banach ideal space and the triangle inequality is satisfied with constant 2, i.e.,*

$$\|x + y\|_{E \odot F} \leq 2 (\|x\|_{E \odot F} + \|y\|_{E \odot F}).$$

(ii) *If both E and F satisfy the Fatou property, then $E \odot F$ has the Fatou property.*

(iii) *The space $E \odot F$ has order continuous norm if and only if the couple (E, F) is not jointly order discontinuous, i.e., $(E, F) \notin (JOD)$.*

Recall that $(E, F) \in (JOD)$ (see [KL10]) means that there exist elements $x \in E \setminus E_a, y \in F \setminus F_a$ and a sequence of measurable sets $A_n \searrow \emptyset$ such that for any sequence

(B_n) in Σ with $B_n \subset A_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) there are a number $a > 0$ and a subsequence (n_k) in $\mathbb{N}$ such that either

$$\|x\chi_{B_{n_k}}\|_E \geq a \text{ and } \|y\chi_{B_{n_k}}\|_F \geq a \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

or

$$\|x\chi_{A_{n_k} \setminus B_{n_k}}\|_E \geq a \text{ and } \|y\chi_{A_{n_k} \setminus B_{n_k}}\|_F \geq a \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. (i) It is a consequence of the representation (7) $E \odot F \equiv (E^{1/2}F^{1/2})^{(1/2)}$ and the fact that for 1/2-concavification of a Banach ideal space $G = E^{1/2}F^{1/2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| |x+y|^{1/2} \|_G^2 &\leq (\| |x|^{1/2} \|_G + \| |y|^{1/2} \|_G)^2 \\ &\leq 2 (\| |x|^{1/2} \|_G^2 + \| |y|^{1/2} \|_G^2). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) It is again a consequence of the representation (7) and the fact that $E^{1/2}F^{1/2}$ has the Fatou property when E and F have the Fatou property (see [Lo69], p. 595).

(iii) The representation (7) and Theorem 13 in [KL10] showing that $E^{1/2}F^{1/2}$ has order continuous norm if and only if $(E, F) \notin (JOD)$ which gives the statement. $\square$

Lozanovskii [Lo65, Theorem 4] formulated result on the Köthe dual of p -convexification $E^{(p)}$ with no proof. The proof can be found in paper by Schep [Sc10, Theorem 2.9] and we present another proof which follows from the Lozanovskii duality result and our Theorem 1(ii).

Corollary 2. *Let E be a Banach ideal space and $1 < p < \infty$. Then*

$$[E^{(p)}]' \equiv (E')^{(p)} \odot L^{p'}.$$

Proof. Using Lozanovskii theorem on duality of the Calderón spaces (see [Lo69], Theorem 2) and our Theorem 1(ii) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [E^{(p)}]' &\equiv [E^{1/p}(L^\infty)^{1-1/p}]' \equiv (E')^{1/p}(L^1)^{1-1/p} \\ &\equiv (E')^{(p)} \odot (L^1)^{(p')} \equiv (E')^{(p)} \odot L^{p'}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Remark 1. In general, $[E^{(p)}]' \neq (E')^{(p)}$. In fact, for the classical Lorentz space $E = L^{r,1}$ with $1 < r < \infty$ we have $[(L^{r,1})^{(p)}]' = (L^{rp,p})' = L^{q,p'}$, where $1/q + 1/(pr) = 1$ and $[(L^{r,1})']^{(p)} = (L^{r',\infty})^{(p)} = L^{r'p,\infty}$.

Example 1. (a) If $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty, 1/p + 1/q = 1/r$, then $L^p \odot L^q \equiv L^r$. In particular, $L^p \odot L^p \equiv L^{p/2}$. In fact, by the Hölder-Rogers inequality $\|xy\|_r \leq \|x\|_p \|y\|_q$ for $x \in L^p, y \in L^q$ (see [Ma89], p. 69) we obtain $L^p \odot L^q \subset L^r$ and $\|z\|_r \leq \|z\|_{L^p \odot L^q}$. On the other hand, if $z \in L^r$, then $x = |z|^{r/p} \text{sgn} z \in L^p, y = |z|^{r/q} \text{sgn} z \in L^q$ and $xy = z$ with

$$\|x\|_p \|y\|_q = \|z\|_r^{r/p} \|z\|_r^{r/q} = \|z\|_r^{r/p+r/q} = \|z\|_r,$$

which shows that $L^r \subset L^p \odot L^q$ and $\|z\|_{L^p \odot L^q} \leq \|z\|_r$.

More general, if $1 \leq p, q < \infty, 1/p + 1/q = 1/r$ and E is a Banach ideal space, then $E^{(p)} \odot E^{(q)} \equiv E^{(r)}$ (cf. [MP89, Lemma 1] and [ORS08, Lemma 2.21(i)]).

(b) We have $c_0 \odot l^1 \equiv l^\infty \odot l^1 \equiv l^1$ and $c_0 \equiv c_0 \odot l^\infty \neq l^\infty \odot l^\infty \equiv l^\infty$.

This example shows that for the Fatou property of $E \odot F$ it is not necessary that both E and F do have the Fatou property.

The next interesting question about product space is its symmetry.

Theorem 2. *Let E and F be symmetric Banach spaces on $I = (0, 1)$ or $I = (0, \infty)$ with the fundamental functions f_E and f_F , respectively. Then $E \odot F$ is a symmetric quasi-Banach space on I and its fundamental function $f_{E \odot F}$ is given by the formula*

$$f_{E \odot F}(t) = f_E(t)f_F(t) \quad \text{for } t \in I. \quad (9)$$

Proof. Using Lemma 4.3 from [KPS82, p. 93] we can easily show that $E^{1/2}F^{1/2}$ (even $\rho(E, F)$) is a Banach symmetric space and the representation (7) gives the symmetry property of $E \odot F$.

The inequality $f_{E \odot F}(t) \leq f_E(t)f_F(t)$ for $t \in I$ is clear. We prove the reverse inequality using the fact that each symmetric Banach space E satisfies $E \xhookrightarrow{1} M_{f_E}$, where M_{f_E} is the Marcinkiewicz space (see estimate (4)), some classical inequality on rearrangement (see, for example, [HLP52], p. 277 or [BS88], p. 44 or [KPS82], p. 64) and the reverse Chebyshev inequality (see Lemma 1 below). For any $0 \leq x \in E, 0 \leq y \in F$ such that $xy = \chi_{[0,t]}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_E \|y\|_F &\geq \|x\|_{M_{f_E}} \|y\|_{M_{f_F}} \\ &\geq \sup_{0 < u \leq t} \frac{f_E(u)}{u} \int_0^u x^*(s) ds \sup_{0 < v \leq t} \frac{f_F(v)}{v} \int_0^v y^*(s) ds \\ &\geq \frac{f_E(t)f_F(t)}{t} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t x^*(s) ds \int_0^t y^*(s) ds \\ &\geq \frac{f_E(t)f_F(t)}{t} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t x(s) ds \int_0^t y(s) ds \\ &\geq \frac{f_E(t)f_F(t)}{t} \int_0^t x(s) y(s) ds \\ &= \frac{f_E(t)f_F(t)}{t} \int_0^t \chi_{[0,t]}(s) ds = f_E(t)f_F(t), \end{aligned}$$

and so $\|\chi_{[0,t]}\|_{E \odot F} \geq f_E(t)f_F(t)$. □

In the fifth inequality above we used the reverse Chebyshev inequality which we will prove in the lemma below. On the classical Chebyshev inequality for decreasing functions (see, for example, [Mi70], p. 39 or [HM91-2], p. 213).

Lemma 1. *Let $0 < \mu(A) < \infty$ and $x(s)y(s) = a > 0$ for all $s \in A$ with $0 \leq x, y \in L^1(A)$. Then*

$$\mu(A) \int_A xy d\mu \leq \int_A x d\mu \int_A y d\mu. \quad (10)$$

Proof. For any $s, t \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
x(s)y(s) &- x(s)y(t) - x(t)y(s) + x(t)y(t) = 2a - x(s)y(t) - x(t)y(s) \\
&= 2a - \frac{x(s)a}{x(t)} - \frac{x(t)a}{x(s)} = a \left[2 - \frac{x(s)}{x(t)} - \frac{x(t)}{x(s)} \right] \\
&= a \frac{2x(s)x(t) - x(s)^2 - x(t)^2}{x(s)x(t)} = -a \frac{[x(s) - x(t)]^2}{x(s)x(t)} \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now integrating over A with respect to s and over A with respect to t we obtain the desired inequality (10). $\square$

Remark 2. Formula (9) is a generalization of the well-known equality on fundamental functions $f_E(t)f_{E'}(t) = t = f_{L^1}(t)$ for $t \in I$ and it is also true for symmetric sequence spaces with the same proof.

Example 1(a) shows that $E \odot F$ is, in general, a quasi-Banach ideal space even if both E and F are Banach ideal spaces. We can ask under which additional conditions on E and F the product space $E \odot F$ is a Banach ideal space. Before formulation the theorem we need notion of p -convexity. A Banach lattice E is said to be p -convex ($1 \leq p < \infty$) with constant $K \geq 1$ if

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\|_E \leq K \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|_E^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

for any sequence $(x_k)_{k=1}^n \subset X$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If a Banach lattice E is p -convex with constant $K \geq 1$ and $1 \leq q < p$, then E is also q -convex with constant at most K . Moreover, p -convexification $E^{(p)}$ of a Banach lattice E is p -convex with constant 1. More information on p -convexity we can find, for example, in [LT79] and [Ma04].

Theorem 3. Suppose that E, F are Banach ideal spaces such that E is p_0 -convex with constant 1, F is p_1 -convex with constant 1 and $\frac{1}{p_0} + \frac{1}{p_1} \leq 1$. Then $E \odot F$ is a Banach space which is even $\frac{p}{2}$ -convex, where $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p_0} + \frac{1}{p_1} \right)$.

Before the proof of Theorem 3 let us present the following lemma, which was mentioned in [Re80] and proved in [TJ89], p. 219. For the sake of completeness we give its proof.

Lemma 2. If E is p_0 -convex with constant K_0 and F is p_1 -convex with constant K_1 , then $E^{1-\theta}F^\theta$ is p -convex with constant $K \leq K_0^{1-\theta}K_1^\theta$, where $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$.

Proof. Let $z_1, \dots, z_n \in E^{1-\theta}F^\theta$. There are $x_k \in E, y_k \in F$ with $\|x_k\|_E \leq 1, \|y_k\|_F \leq 1$ and $\lambda_k \geq 0$ be such that for all $1 \leq k \leq n$

$$|z_k| \leq \lambda_k |x_k|^{1-\theta} |y_k|^\theta \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_k \leq \|z_k\|_{E^{1-\theta}F^\theta} (1 + \varepsilon).$$

By the Hölder-Rogers inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^p \right)^{1/p} &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^p |x_k|^{p(1-\theta)} |y_k|^{p\theta} \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^p |x_k|^{p_0(1-\theta)} \right)^{(1-\theta)/p_0} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^p |y_k|^{p_1\theta} \right)^{\theta/p_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by the property $\|u^{1-\theta}v^\theta\|_{E^{1-\theta}F^\theta} \leq \|u\|_E^{1-\theta}\|v\|_F^\theta$ and assumptions on convexity together with assumptions on elements, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\|_{E^{1-\theta}F^\theta} &\leq \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^p |x_k|^{p_0} \right)^{(1-\theta)/p_0} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^p |y_k|^{p_1} \right)^{\theta/p_1} \right\|_{E^{1-\theta}F^\theta} \\
&\leq \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^p |x_k|^{p_0} \right)^{1/p_0} \right\|_E^{1-\theta} \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^p |y_k|^{p_1} \right)^{1/p_1} \right\|_F^\theta \\
&\leq K_0^{1-\theta} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|\lambda_k^{p/p_0} x_k\|_E^{p_0} \right)^{(1-\theta)/p_0} K_1^\theta \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|\lambda_k^{p/p_1} y_k\|_F^{p_1} \right)^{\theta/p_1} \\
&= K_0^{1-\theta} K_1^\theta \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^p \|x_k\|_E^{p_0} \right)^{(1-\theta)/p_0} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^p \|y_k\|_F^{p_1} \right)^{\theta/p_1} \\
&\leq K_0^{1-\theta} K_1^\theta \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^p \right)^{(1-\theta)/p_0} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^p \right)^{\theta/p_1} = K_0^{1-\theta} K_1^\theta \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^p \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq (1+\varepsilon) K_0^{1-\theta} K_1^\theta \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|z_k\|_{E^{1-\theta}F^\theta}^p \right)^{1/p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, the p -convexity of $E^{1-\theta}F^\theta$ is proved with the constant $K \leq K_0^{1-\theta} K_1^\theta$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3. By Lemma 2, we have that $Z = E^{1/2}F^{1/2}$ is p -convex with constant 1, where $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2p_0} + \frac{1}{2p_1}$. The assumption on p_0, p_1 gives that $p \geq 2$ and since $1/2$ -concavification of Z is $p/2$ -convex with constant 1 ($p/2 \geq 1$) it follows that it is 1-convex with constant 1 which gives that the norm of $E \odot F = Z^{(1/2)}$ satisfies the triangle inequality, and consequently is a Banach space. This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 3. By duality arguments, Theorem 3 can be also formulated in the terms of q -concavity of the Köthe dual spaces. A Banach lattice E is q -concave ($1 < q < \infty$) with constant $K \geq 1$ if $(\sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|_F^q)^{1/q} \leq K \|(\sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^q)^{1/q}\|_F$ for any sequence $(x_k)_{k=1}^n \subset X$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 4. Since imbedding $G \subset E \odot F$ means also factorization $z = xy$, where $x \in E$ and $y \in F$, therefore sometimes these imbeddings or identifications of product spaces $E \odot F = G$ are called factorizations of concrete spaces as, for example, l^p and Cesàro sequence spaces or L^p and Cesàro function spaces (cf. [Be96], [AM09], [Sc10]), factorization of tent spaces or other spaces (cf. [CV00], [CRW76], [Ho77]).

3. The product spaces and multipliers

Let us collect properties connecting product space with the space of multipliers. We start with the Cwikel and Nilsson result [CN03, Theorem 3.5]. They proved that if a Banach ideal space E has the Fatou property and $0 < \theta < 1$, then

$$E \equiv M(F^{(1/\theta)}, E^{1-\theta}F^\theta)^{(1-\theta)}.$$

We will prove a generalization of this equality, which in the case of $G = L^\infty$ coincides with their result.

Proposition 2. *Let E, F, G be Banach ideal spaces. Suppose that E has the Fatou property and $0 < \theta < 1$. Then*

$$M(G, E) \equiv M(G^{1-\theta} F^\theta, E^{1-\theta} F^\theta)^{(1-\theta)}.$$

Proof. First, let us prove the imbedding $\hookrightarrow$. Let $x \in M(G, E)$. We want to show that $x \in M(G^{1-\theta} F^\theta, E^{1-\theta} F^\theta)^{(1-\theta)}$, that is, $|x|^{1-\theta} \in M(G^{1-\theta} F^\theta, E^{1-\theta} F^\theta)$ or equivalently $|x|^{1-\theta} |y| \in E^{1-\theta} F^\theta$ for any $y \in G^{1-\theta} F^\theta$. Take arbitrary $y \in G^{1-\theta} F^\theta$ with the norm < 1 . Then there are $w \in G, v \in F$ satisfying $\|w\|_G \leq 1, \|v\|_F \leq 1$ and $|y| \leq |w|^{1-\theta} |v|^\theta$. Clearly,

$$|x|^{1-\theta} |y| \leq |xw|^{1-\theta} |v|^\theta \in E^{1-\theta} F^\theta$$

since $x \in M(G, E), w \in G$ gives $xw \in E$. This proves the inclusion. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \||x|^{1-\theta} |y|\|_{E^{1-\theta} F^\theta} &\leq \||xw|^{1-\theta} |v|^\theta\|_{E^{1-\theta} F^\theta} \\ &\leq \|xw\|_E^{1-\theta} \|v\|_F^\theta \\ &\leq \|x\|_{M(G, E)}^{1-\theta} \|w\|_G^{1-\theta} \|v\|_F^\theta \leq \|x\|_{M(G, E)}^{1-\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\||x|^{1-\theta}\|_{M(G^{1-\theta} F^\theta, E^{1-\theta} F^\theta)}^{1/(1-\theta)} \leq \|x\|_{M(G, E)}.$$

The imbedding $\hookleftarrow$. Let $\|x\|_{M(G^{1-\theta} F^\theta, E^{1-\theta} F^\theta)^{(1-\theta)}} = 1$, i.e. $\||x|^{1-\theta}\|_{M(G^{1-\theta} F^\theta, E^{1-\theta} F^\theta)} = 1$. We need to show that for any $w \in G$ we have $xw \in M(F^{1/\theta}, E^{1-\theta} F^\theta)^{(1-\theta)}$, that is, $|xw|^{1-\theta} \in M(F^{1/\theta}, E^{1-\theta} F^\theta)$. Really, by the Cwikel-Nilsson result, we obtain $xw \in E$ for any $w \in G$.

Let $w \in G$ and $v \in F$. Since the norm of x is 1 it follows that

$$\||x|^{1-\theta} \frac{z}{\|z\|_{G^{1-\theta} F^\theta}}\|_{E^{1-\theta} F^\theta} \leq 1$$

for each $0 \neq z \in G^{1-\theta} F^\theta$. Consequently, for $z = |w|^{1-\theta} |v|^\theta$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \||xw|^{1-\theta} |v|^\theta\|_{E^{1-\theta} F^\theta} &= \||x|^{1-\theta} |w|^{1-\theta} |v|^\theta\|_{E^{1-\theta} F^\theta} \\ &\leq \||w|^{1-\theta} |v|^\theta\|_{G^{1-\theta} F^\theta} \leq \|w\|_G^{1-\theta} \|v\|_F^\theta. \end{aligned}$$

This proves our inclusion part because from the assumption on x and the fact that $|w|^{1-\theta} |v|^\theta \in G^{1-\theta} F^\theta$ we have $|xw|^{1-\theta} |v|^\theta = |x|^{1-\theta} |w|^{1-\theta} |v|^\theta \in E^{1-\theta} F^\theta$. Moreover, by the Cwikel-Nilsson result and the last estimate with $v = |m|^{1/\theta}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{M(G, E)} &= \sup_{\|w\|_G \leq 1} \|xw\|_E = \sup_{\|w\|_G \leq 1} \|xw\|_{M(F^{1/\theta}, E^{1-\theta} F^\theta)^{(1-\theta)}} \\ &= \sup_{\|w\|_G \leq 1} \sup_{\|m\|_{F^{1/\theta}} \leq 1} \||xw|^{1-\theta} m\|_{E^{1-\theta} F^\theta}^{1/(1-\theta)} \\ &\leq \sup_{\|w\|_G \leq 1} \sup_{\|m\|_{F^{1/\theta}} \leq 1} \|w\|_G \|m\|_{F^{1/\theta}}^{1/(1-\theta)} \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem is proved with the equality of the norms. $\square$

Proposition 2 together with the representation of the product space as the 1/2-concavification of the Calderón space will give the “cancellation” property for multipliers of products.

Theorem 4. *Let E, F, G be Banach ideal spaces. If G has the Fatou property, then*

$$M(E \odot F, E \odot G) \equiv M(F, G). \quad (11)$$

Proof. Applying Theorem 1(iv), property (g) from [MP89] and Proposition 2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M(E \odot F, E \odot G) &\equiv M[(E^{1/2}F^{1/2})^{(1/2)}, (E^{1/2}G^{1/2})^{(1/2)}] \\ &\equiv M[E^{1/2}F^{1/2}, (E^{1/2}G^{1/2})]^{(1/2)} \equiv M(F, G), \end{aligned}$$

and (11) is proved. $\square$

Remark 5. Note that Proposition 2 and Theorem 4 are equivalent. Proposition 2 can be written in the following form: if F has the Fatou property, then

$$M(E^\theta G^{1-\theta}, F^\theta G^{1-\theta}) \equiv M(E, F)^{(1/\theta)},$$

and it can be proved using Theorem 4. In fact, applying Theorem 1(ii), cancellation property from Theorem 4 and property (g) from [MP89] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M(E^\theta G^{1-\theta}, F^\theta G^{1-\theta}) &\equiv M(E^{(1/\theta)} \odot G^{(1/(1-\theta))}, F^{(1/\theta)} \odot G^{(1/(1-\theta))}) \\ &\equiv M(E^{(1/\theta)}, F^{(1/\theta)}) \equiv M(E, F)^{(1/\theta)}. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 4 we can also get the equality mentioned by Raynaud [Ra92] which can be proved also directly (cf. also [Sc10], Proposition 1.4).

Corollary 3. *Let E, F be Banach ideal spaces. If E has the Fatou property, then*

$$(E \odot F)' \equiv M(F, E') \equiv M(E, F') \quad (12)$$

Proof. Using the Lozanovskii factorization theorem (for more discussion see Part 6) and the cancellation property (11) we obtain

$$(E \odot F)' \equiv M(E \odot F, L^1) \equiv M(E \odot F, E \odot E') \equiv M(F, E').$$

and

$$(E \odot F)' \equiv M(E \odot F, L^1) \equiv M(E \odot F, F' \odot F) \equiv M(E, F').$$

Note that the second identity in (12) follows also from the general properties of multipliers (see [MP89, property (e)] or [KLM12, property (vii)]) because we have $M(F, E') \equiv M(E'', F') \equiv M(E, F')$. $\square$

Corollary 4. *Let E, F be Banach ideal spaces. If F has the Fatou property and $\|xy\|_{E \odot F} \leq 1$ for all $x \in E$ with $\|x\|_E \leq 1$, then $\|y\|_F \leq 1$.*

Proof. Since by assumption $\|y\|_{M(E, E \odot F)} \leq 1$, then using Theorem 4, together with the facts that $M(L^\infty, F) \equiv F$, $E \odot L^\infty \equiv E$, we obtain

$$\|y\|_F = \|y\|_{M(L^\infty, F)} = \|y\|_{M(E \odot L^\infty, E \odot F)} = \|y\|_{M(E, E \odot F)} \leq 1. \quad \square$$

Corollary 5. *Let E, F, G be Banach ideal spaces. If F and G have the Fatou property, then*

$$M(E \odot F, G) \equiv M(E, M(F, G)).$$

Proof. Using Theorem 4, the Lozanovskii factorization theorem, Corollary 3 with the fact that the Fatou property of F gives by Corollary 1(ii) that $F \odot G'$ has the Fatou property, again Corollary 3 and the Fatou property of G we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M(E \odot F, G) &\equiv M(E \odot F \odot G', G \odot G') \\ &\equiv M(E \odot F \odot G', L^1) \\ &\equiv (E \odot F \odot G')' \equiv (F \odot G' \odot E)' \\ &\equiv M(E, (F \odot G')') \equiv M(E, M(F, G'')) \equiv M(E, M(F, G)), \end{aligned}$$

which establishes the formula. □

4. The product of Calderón-Lozanovskii E_φ -spaces

The pointwise product of Orlicz spaces was investigated already by Krasnoselskii and Rutickii in their book, where sufficient conditions on imbedding $L^{\varphi_1} \odot L^{\varphi_2} \subset L^\varphi$ are given in the case when Ω is bounded closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ (cf. [KR61], Theorems 13.7 and 13.8). For the same set Ω , Ando [An60] proved that $L^{\varphi_1} \odot L^{\varphi_2} \subset L^\varphi$ if and only if there exist $C > 0$, $u_0 > 0$ such that $\varphi(Cuv) \leq \varphi_1(u) + \varphi_2(v)$ for $u, v \geq u_0$.

O'Neil [ON65] presented necessary and sufficient conditions for the imbedding $L^{\varphi_1} \odot L^{\varphi_2} \subset L^\varphi$ in the case when measure space is either non-atomic and infinite or non-atomic and finite or counting measure on $\mathbb{N}$. Moreover, he observed that condition $\varphi(Cuv) \leq \varphi_1(u) + \varphi_2(v)$ for all [large, small] $u, v > 0$ is equivalent to condition on inverse functions $C_1\varphi_1^{-1}(u)\varphi_2^{-1}(u) \leq \varphi^{-1}(u)$ for all [large, small] $u > 0$. O'Neil's results were also presented, with his proofs, in the books [Ma89, pp. 71-75] and [RR91, pp. 179-184].

The reverse imbedding $L^\varphi \subset L^{\varphi_1} \odot L^{\varphi_2}$ and the equality $L^{\varphi_1} \odot L^{\varphi_2} = L^\varphi$ were considered by Zabreiko-Rutickii [ZR67, Theorem 8], Dankert [Da74, pp. 63-68] and Maligranda [Ma89, 69-71].

We will prove the above results for more general spaces, that is, for the Calderón-Lozanovskii E_φ -spaces. Results on the imbedding $E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2} \hookrightarrow E_\varphi$ need the following relations between Young functions (cf. [ON65]): we say $\varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1} \prec \varphi^{-1}$ for all arguments [for large arguments] (for small arguments) if that there is a constant $C > 0$ [there are constants $C, u_0 > 0$] (there are constants $C, u_0 > 0$) such that the inequality

$$C\varphi_1^{-1}(u)\varphi_2^{-1}(u) \leq \varphi^{-1}(u) \tag{13}$$

holds for all $u > 0$ [for all $u \geq u_0$] (for all $u \leq u_0$), respectively.

Remark 6. The inequality (13) implies a generalized Young inequality:

$$\varphi(Cuv) \leq \varphi_1(u) + \varphi_2(v) \text{ for all } u, v > 0 \text{ such that } \varphi_1(u), \varphi_2(v) < \infty. \tag{14}$$

On the other hand, if $\varphi(Cuv) \leq \varphi_1(u) + \varphi_2(v)$ for all $u, v > 0$, then $\varphi_1^{-1}(w)\varphi_2^{-1}(w) \leq \frac{2}{C}\varphi^{-1}(w)$ for each $w > 0$ (see [ON65] and [KLM12]). Similar equivalences hold for large and small arguments.

In [KLM12] the question when the product $xy \in E_\varphi$ provided $x \in E_{\varphi_1}$ and $y \in E_{\varphi_2}$ was investigated, as a generalization of O'Neil's theorems [ON65], and the following results were proved (see [KLM12], Theorems 4.1, 4.2 and 4.5):

Theorem A. *Let φ_1, φ_2 and φ be three Young functions.*

- (a) *If E is a Banach ideal space with the Fatou property and one of the following conditions holds:*
 - (a1) $\varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1} \prec \varphi^{-1}$ *for all arguments,*
 - (a2) $\varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1} \prec \varphi^{-1}$ *for large arguments and $L^\infty \hookrightarrow E$,*
 - (a3) $\varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1} \prec \varphi^{-1}$ *for small arguments and $E \hookrightarrow L^\infty$,**then, for every $x \in E_{\varphi_1}$ and $y \in E_{\varphi_2}$ the product $xy \in E_\varphi$, which means that $E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2} \hookrightarrow E_\varphi$.*
- (b) *If a Banach ideal space E with the Fatou property is such that $E_a \neq \{0\}$ and we have $E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2} \hookrightarrow E_\varphi$, then $\varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1} \prec \varphi^{-1}$ *for large arguments.**
- (c) *If a Banach ideal space E has the Fatou property, $\text{supp} E_a = \Omega, L^\infty \not\hookrightarrow E$ and $E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2} \hookrightarrow E_\varphi$, then $\varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1} \prec \varphi^{-1}$ *for small arguments.**
- (d) *If e is a Banach sequence space with the Fatou property, $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|e_k\|_e < \infty, l^\infty \not\hookrightarrow e$ and $e_{\varphi_1} \odot e_{\varphi_2} \hookrightarrow e_\varphi$, then $\varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1} \prec \varphi^{-1}$ *for small arguments.**

Note that in the case (c) we can even conclude the relation $\varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1} \prec \varphi^{-1}$ for all arguments, using (b) and (c).

The sufficient and necessary conditions on the reverse inclusion $E_\varphi \hookrightarrow E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2}$ need also the reverse relations between Young functions, the same as in [KLM12].

The symbol $\varphi^{-1} \prec \varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1}$ for all arguments [for large arguments] (for small arguments) means that there is a constant $D > 0$ [there are constants $D, u_0 > 0$] (there are constants $D, u_0 > 0$) such that the inequality

$$\varphi^{-1}(u) \leq D \varphi_1^{-1}(u) \varphi_2^{-1}(u) \quad (15)$$

holds for all $u > 0$ [for all $u \geq u_0$] (for all $0 < u \leq u_0$), respectively.

Theorem 5. *Let φ_1, φ_2 and φ be three Young functions.*

- (a) *If E is a Banach ideal space with the Fatou property and one of the following conditions holds:*
 - (a1) $\varphi^{-1} \prec \varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1}$ *for all arguments,*
 - (a2) $\varphi^{-1} \prec \varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1}$ *for large arguments and $L^\infty \hookrightarrow E$,*
 - (a3) $\varphi^{-1} \prec \varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1}$ *for small arguments and $E \hookrightarrow L^\infty$,**then $E_\varphi \hookrightarrow E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2}$.*
- (b) *If E is a symmetric Banach function space on I with the Fatou property, $E_a \neq \{0\}$ and $E_\varphi \hookrightarrow E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2}$, then $\varphi^{-1} \prec \varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1}$ *for large arguments.**
- (c) *If E is a symmetric Banach function space on I with the Fatou property, $\text{supp} E_a = \Omega, L^\infty \not\hookrightarrow E$ and $E_\varphi \hookrightarrow E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2}$, then $\varphi^{-1} \prec \varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1}$ *for small arguments.**

(d) Let e be a symmetric Banach sequence space with the Fatou property and order continuous norm. If $e_\varphi \hookrightarrow e_{\varphi_1} \odot e_{\varphi_2}$, then $\varphi^{-1} \prec \varphi_1^{-1} \varphi_2^{-1}$ for small arguments.

Proof. (a1) The idea of the proof is taken from [Ma89], Theorem 10.1(b). For $z \in E_\varphi \setminus \{0\}$ let $y = \varphi(\frac{|z|}{\|z\|_{E_\varphi}})$ and

$$z_i(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{|z(t)|}{\varphi_1^{-1}(y(t)) \varphi_2^{-1}(y(t))}} \varphi_i^{-1}(y(t)), & \text{if } t \in \text{supp } z, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. The elements z_i are well defined. Indeed, if $a_\varphi = 0$, then $y(t) > 0$ for μ -a.e. $t \in \text{supp } z$. If $a_\varphi > 0$, then assumption on functions implies that $a_{\varphi_1} > 0$ and $a_{\varphi_2} > 0$. Consequently, $\varphi_1^{-1}(0) = a_{\varphi_1}$ and $\varphi_2^{-1}(0) = a_{\varphi_2}$. Now we will prove the inequality

$$\varphi_i\left(\frac{z_i}{\sqrt{D\|z\|_{E_\varphi}}}\right) \leq y, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (16)$$

If $a_\varphi > 0$, taking $u \rightarrow 0$ in inequality (15) we obtain $a_\varphi \leq D a_{\varphi_1} a_{\varphi_2}$. If $y(t) = 0$, then

$$z_i(t) = \sqrt{\frac{|z(t)|}{a_{\varphi_1} a_{\varphi_2}}} \varphi_i^{-1}(0) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\|z\|_{E_\varphi} a_\varphi}{a_{\varphi_1} a_{\varphi_2}}} \varphi_i^{-1}(0) \leq \sqrt{D\|z\|_{E_\varphi}} \varphi_i^{-1}(0)$$

and consequently $\varphi_i(\frac{z_i(t)}{\sqrt{D\|z\|_{E_\varphi}}}) = 0 = y(t)$. If $y(t) > 0$, then

$$z_i(t) = \sqrt{\frac{|z(t)|}{\varphi_1^{-1}(y(t)) \varphi_2^{-1}(y(t))}} \varphi_i^{-1}(y(t)) \leq \sqrt{\frac{D|z(t)|}{\varphi^{-1}(y(t))}} \varphi_i^{-1}(y(t)) = \sqrt{D\|z\|_\varphi} \varphi_i^{-1}(y(t)).$$

This proves (16) and consequently we obtain

$$I_{\varphi_1}\left(\frac{z_1}{\sqrt{D\|z\|_{E_\varphi}}}\right) \leq \|y\|_E = \|\varphi(\frac{|z|}{\|z\|_{E_\varphi}})\|_E \leq 1.$$

Thus $\|z_1\|_{E_{\varphi_1}} \leq \sqrt{D\|z\|_{E_\varphi}}$ and similarly $\|z_2\|_{E_{\varphi_2}} \leq \sqrt{D\|z\|_{E_\varphi}}$. Since $|z| = z_1 z_2$ it follows that $z \in E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2}$ and $\|z\|_{E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2}} \leq D\|z\|_{E_\varphi}$.

(a2) If $b_\varphi < \infty$ and $L^\infty \hookrightarrow E$, then $E_\varphi = L^\infty$ with equivalent norms and clearly $E_\varphi = L^\infty \hookrightarrow E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2}$. Suppose $b_\varphi = \infty$. Set $v_0 = \varphi^{-1}(u_0)$, where u_0 is from (15) and let $v > 0$ be such that $\max[\varphi_1(v), \varphi_2(v)] \|\chi_\Omega\|_E \leq 1/2$. For $\|z\|_{E_\varphi} = 1$ let $y = \varphi(|z|)$ and

$$A = \{t \in \text{supp } z : |z(t)| \geq v_0\}, \quad B = \text{supp } z \setminus A = \{t \in \text{supp } z : |z(t)| < v_0\},$$

Define

$$z_i(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{|z(t)|}{\varphi_1^{-1}(y(t)) \varphi_2^{-1}(y(t))}} \varphi_i^{-1}(y(t)), & \text{if } t \in A, \\ \sqrt{|z(t)|}, & t \in B, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Since $\varphi(v_0) > 0$ the functions z_i are well defined. If $t \in A$, then

$$z_i(t) = \sqrt{\frac{|z(t)|}{\varphi_1^{-1}(y(t)) \varphi_2^{-1}(y(t))}} \varphi_i^{-1}(y(t)) \leq \sqrt{\frac{D|z(t)|}{\varphi^{-1}(y(t))}} \varphi_i^{-1}(y(t)) \leq \sqrt{D} \varphi_i^{-1}(y(t)),$$

whence

$$I_{\varphi_1}\left(\frac{z_1}{2\sqrt{D}}\chi_A\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}I_{\varphi_1}\left(\frac{z_1}{\sqrt{D}}\chi_A\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|y\|_E \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

and

$$I_{\varphi_1}\left(\frac{vz_1}{\sqrt{v_0}}\chi_B\right) = \|\varphi_1\left(\frac{vz_1}{\sqrt{v_0}}\chi_B\right)\|_E \leq \varphi_1(v)\|\chi_\Omega\|_E \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then, for $\lambda = \max\{\frac{\sqrt{v_0}}{v}, 2\sqrt{D}\}$, we obtain

$$I_{\varphi_1}\left(\frac{z_1}{\lambda}\right) \leq I_{\varphi_1}\left(\frac{z_1}{\lambda}\chi_A\right) + I_{\varphi_1}\left(\frac{z_1}{\lambda}\chi_B\right) \leq I_{\varphi_1}\left(\frac{z_1}{2\sqrt{D}}\chi_A\right) + I_{\varphi_1}\left(\frac{vz_1}{\sqrt{v_0}}\chi_B\right) \leq 1.$$

Thus $\|z_1\|_{E_{\varphi_1}} \leq \lambda$ and similarly $\|z_2\|_{E_{\varphi_2}} \leq \lambda$. Since $|z| = z_1 z_2$ it follows that $z \in E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2}$ and $\|z\|_{E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2}} \leq \lambda^2$. Consequently $\|z\|_{E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2}} \leq \lambda^2 \|z\|_{E_\varphi}$ for each $z \in E_\varphi$.

(a3) Since $\varphi^{-1} \prec \varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1}$ for small arguments it follows that for any $u_1 > u_0$ there is a constant $D_1 \geq D$ such that

$$\varphi^{-1}(u) \leq D_1\varphi_1^{-1}(u)\varphi_2^{-1}(u) \quad (17)$$

for any $u \leq u_1$. We follow the same way as in the proof of (a1) replacing D by D_1 from (17) for $u_1 = M$, where M is the constant of the inclusion $E \xrightarrow{M} L^\infty$.

(b) Suppose that condition $\varphi^{-1} \prec \varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1}$ for large arguments is not satisfied. Then there is a sequence (u_n) with $u_n \nearrow \infty$ such that $2^n\varphi_1^{-1}(u_n)\varphi_2^{-1}(u_n) \leq \varphi^{-1}(u_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We repeat a construction of the sequence (z_n) , as it was given in [KLM12] in the proof of Theorem 4.2(i), showing that $\frac{\|z_n\|_{E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2}}}{\|z_n\|_{E_\varphi}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $E_a \neq \{0\}$ it follows that there is a nonzero $0 \leq x \in E_a$ and so there is a set A of positive measure such that $\chi_A \in E_a$. Of course, for large enough n one has $\|u_n\chi_A\|_E \geq 1$. Applying Dobrakov's result from [Do74] we conclude that the submeasure $\omega(B) = \|u_n\chi_B\|_E$ has the Darboux property. Consequently, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a set A_n such that $\|u_n\chi_{A_n}\|_E = 1$. Define

$$x_n = \varphi_1^{-1}(u_n)\chi_{A_n}, \quad y_n = \varphi_2^{-1}(u_n)\chi_{A_n} \text{ and } z_n = x_n y_n.$$

Let us consider two cases:

1⁰. Let either $b_{\varphi_1} = \infty$ or $b_{\varphi_1} < \infty$ and $\varphi_1(b_{\varphi_1}) = \infty$. Then $\varphi_1(\varphi_1^{-1}(u)) = u$ for $u \geq 0$ and for $0 < \lambda < 1$, by the convexity of φ_1 , we obtain

$$I_{\varphi_1}\left(\frac{x_n}{\lambda}\right) = \|\varphi_1\left(\frac{\varphi_1^{-1}(u_n)}{\lambda}\right)\chi_{A_n}\|_E \geq \frac{1}{\lambda}\|\varphi_1(\varphi_1^{-1}(u_n))\chi_{A_n}\|_E = \frac{1}{\lambda}u_n\|\chi_{A_n}\|_E > 1.$$

2⁰. Let $b_{\varphi_1} < \infty$ and $\varphi_1(b_{\varphi_1}) < \infty$. Then, for sufficiently large n and $0 < \lambda < 1$, we have $I_{\varphi_1}\left(\frac{x_n}{\lambda}\right) = \infty$.

In both cases $\|x_n\|_{E_{\varphi_1}} \geq 1$ and similarly $\|y_n\|_{E_{\varphi_2}} \geq 1$. Applying Theorem 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n\|_{E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2}} &= \varphi_1^{-1}(u_n)\varphi_2^{-1}(u_n) f_{E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2}}(m(A_n)) \\ &= \varphi_1^{-1}(u_n)\varphi_2^{-1}(u_n) f_{E_{\varphi_1}}(m(A_n))f_{E_{\varphi_2}}(m(A_n)) \\ &= \|x_n\|_{E_{\varphi_1}}\|y_n\|_{E_{\varphi_2}} \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using the relation between functions on a sequence (u_n) and the fact that $\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(u)) \leq u$ for $u > 0$ we obtain

$$I_\varphi(2^n z_n) = \|\varphi(2^n \varphi_1^{-1}(u_n) \varphi_2^{-1}(u_n)) \chi_{A_n}\|_E \leq \|\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(u_n)) \chi_{A_n}\|_E \leq \|u_n \chi_{A_n}\|_E = 1,$$

i.e., $\|z_n\|_{E_\varphi} \leq 1/2^n$ which gives $\frac{\|z_n\|_{E_{\varphi_1 \odot E_{\varphi_2}}}}{\|z_n\|_{E_\varphi}} \geq 2^n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(c) It can be done by combining methods from the proof of Theorem 5(b) and Theorem A(c).

(d) Suppose that condition $\varphi^{-1} \prec \varphi_1^{-1} \varphi_2^{-1}$ for small arguments is not satisfied. Then we can find a sequence $u_n \rightarrow 0$ such that $2^n \varphi_1^{-1}(u_n) \varphi_2^{-1}(u_n) \leq \varphi^{-1}(u_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Assumptions on a sequence space e gives $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\sum_{k=0}^m e_k\|_e = \infty$. Thus, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a number m_n such that

$$u_n \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{m_n} e_k \right\|_e \leq 1 < u_n \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{m_n+1} e_k \right\|_e.$$

By symmetry of e , $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|e_k\|_e = \|e_1\|_e = M$. Therefore $u_n \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} e_k \right\|_e \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Put

$$x_n = \varphi_1^{-1}(u_n) \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} e_k, \quad y_n = \varphi_2^{-1}(u_n) \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} e_k \quad \text{and} \quad z_n = x_n y_n.$$

Then $I_{\varphi_1}(x_n) \leq u_n \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} e_k \right\|_e \leq 1$ and

$$I_{\varphi_1}(2x_n) = \varphi_1(2\varphi_1^{-1}(u_n)) \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} e_k \right\|_e \geq 2u_n \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} e_k \right\|_e \rightarrow 2 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, for n large enough $1 \geq \|x_n\|_{E_{\varphi_1}} \geq 1/2$ as well as $1 \geq \|y_n\|_{E_{\varphi_2}} \geq 1/2$. Consequently, explaining like in (b) one has $\|z_n\|_{E_{\varphi_1 \odot E_{\varphi_2}}} \geq 1/4$ and $I_\varphi(2^n z_n) \leq 1$, which gives $\frac{\|z_n\|_{E_{\varphi_1 \odot E_{\varphi_2}}}}{\|z_n\|_{E_\varphi}} \geq 2^{n-2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and the proof of Theorem 5 is complete. $\square$

To formulate results on equality of product spaces we need to introduce equivalences of inverses of Young functions φ_1, φ_2 and φ . The symbol $\varphi_1^{-1} \varphi_2^{-1} \approx \varphi^{-1}$ for all arguments [for large arguments] (for small arguments) means that $\varphi_1^{-1} \varphi_2^{-1} \prec \varphi^{-1}$ and $\varphi^{-1} \prec \varphi_1^{-1} \varphi_2^{-1}$, that is provided there are constants $C, D > 0$ [there are constants $C, D, u_0 > 0$] (there are constants $C, D, u_0 > 0$) such that the inequalities

$$C\varphi_1^{-1}(u)\varphi_2^{-1}(u) \leq \varphi^{-1}(u) \leq D\varphi_1^{-1}(u)\varphi_2^{-1}(u) \quad (18)$$

hold for all $u > 0$ [for all $u \geq u_0$] (for all $0 < u \leq u_0$), respectively.

From the above Theorem A and Theorem 5 we obtain immediately results on the product of Calderón-Lozanovskii E_φ -spaces which are generalizations of the results known for Orlicz spaces.

Corollary 6. *Let φ_1, φ_2 and φ be three Young functions.*

- (a) *Suppose that E is a symmetric Banach function space with the Fatou property, $L^\infty \not\hookrightarrow E$ and $\text{supp} E_a = \Omega$. Then $E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2} = E_\varphi$ if and only if $\varphi_1^{-1} \varphi_2^{-1} \approx \varphi^{-1}$ for all arguments.*

- (b) Suppose that E is a symmetric Banach function space with the Fatou property, $L^\infty \hookrightarrow E$ and $E_a \neq \{0\}$. Then $E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2} = E_\varphi$ if and only if $\varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1} \approx \varphi^{-1}$ for large arguments.
- (c) Suppose that e is a symmetric Banach sequence space with the Fatou property and order continuous norm. Then $e_{\varphi_1} \odot e_{\varphi_2} = e_\varphi$ if and only if $\varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1} \approx \varphi^{-1}$ for small arguments.

The following construction appeared in [ZR67] and in [DK67]: for two Young functions φ_1, φ_2 (or even for only the so-called φ -functions) one can define a new function $\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2$ by the formula

$$(\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2)(u) = \inf_{u=vw} [\varphi_1(v) + \varphi_2(w)] = \inf_{v>0} [\varphi_1(v) + \varphi_2(\frac{u}{v})], \quad (19)$$

for $u \geq 0$. This operation was investigated in [ZR67], [BO78], [Ma89], [MP89] and [St92]. Note that $\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2$ is non-decreasing, left-continuous function and is 0 at $u = 0$. Moreover, the proof of the following estimates can be found in [ZR67, pp. 267, 271] and [St92, Theorem 1]:

$$\varphi^{-1}(t) \leq \varphi_1^{-1}(t)\varphi_2^{-1}(t) \leq \varphi^{-1}(2t) \quad \text{for any } t > 0,$$

where φ_1, φ_2 are nondegenerate Orlicz functions (the proof in general case is not difficult) φ -functions and $\varphi = \varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2$. The function φ need not be convex even if both φ_1 and φ_2 are convex functions. However, if φ is a convex function, then $\varphi^{-1} \leq \varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1} \leq 2\varphi^{-1}$ and, by Theorem A(a1) and 5(a1), we obtain $E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2} = E_\varphi$.

We will prove the last result without explicit assumption that φ is convex, but to do this we need to extend definition of the Calderón-Lozanovskii E_φ -space in this case (cf. [KMP03] for definition and some results).

For a non-decreasing and left-continuous function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$ assume that there exist $C, \alpha > 0$ such that

$$\varphi(st) \leq C t^\alpha \varphi(s) \quad \text{for all } s > 0 \text{ and } 0 < t < 1. \quad (20)$$

Then the Calderón-Lozanovskii E_φ -space is a quasi-Banach ideal space (since φ need not be convex) with the quasi-norm

$$\|x\|_{E_\varphi} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \|\varphi(|x|/\lambda)\|_E \leq 1\}.$$

Note that for a convex function φ the condition (20) holds with $C = \alpha = 1$ and the space E_φ is normable when the condition (20) holds with $\alpha \geq 1$.

We know that if φ_1 and φ_2 are convex functions, then $\varphi = \varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2$ is not necessary a convex function but the condition (20) holds with $C = 1$ and $\alpha = 1/2$ and the space E_φ is a quasi-Banach ideal space. In fact, for any $s > 0$ and $0 < t < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(st) &= \inf_{st=vw} [\varphi_1(v) + \varphi_2(w)] = \inf_{s=ab} [\varphi_1(\sqrt{t}a) + \varphi_2(\sqrt{t}b)] \\ &\leq \sqrt{t} \inf_{s=ab} [\varphi_1(a) + \varphi_2(b)] = \sqrt{t} \varphi(s). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6. *Let φ_1, φ_2 be Young functions. If $\varphi := \varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2$, then $E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2} = E_\varphi$, where E is a Banach ideal space with the Fatou property.*

Proof. We prove that $E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2} \hookrightarrow E_{\varphi}$. By definition of $\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2$ one has

$$\varphi(uv) \leq \varphi_1(u) + \varphi_2(v)$$

for each $u, v > 0$ with $\varphi_1(u) < \infty, \varphi_2(v) < \infty$. Let $z \in E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2}, z \neq 0$, and take arbitrary $0 \leq x \in E_{\varphi_1}, 0 \leq y \in E_{\varphi_2}$ with $|z| = xy$. Since the condition (20) holds with $C = 1$ and $\alpha = 1/2$ it follows that for $0 < t < 1/4$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\varphi}\left(\frac{tz}{\|x\|_{E_{\varphi_1}}\|y\|_{E_{\varphi_2}}}\right) &\leq \sqrt{t} \left\| \varphi\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|_{E_{\varphi_1}}}\frac{y}{\|y\|_{E_{\varphi_2}}}\right) \right\|_E \\ &\leq \sqrt{t} \left[\left\| \varphi_1\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|_{E_{\varphi_1}}}\right) \right\|_E + \left\| \varphi_2\left(\frac{y}{\|y\|_{E_{\varphi_2}}}\right) \right\|_E \right] \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{t} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|z\|_{E_{\varphi}} \leq \frac{1}{t} \|x\|_{E_{\varphi_1}} \|y\|_{E_{\varphi_2}}$ and consequently $\|z\|_{E_{\varphi}} \leq \frac{1}{t} \|z\|_{E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2}}$. Thus $E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2} \xrightarrow{1/t} E_{\varphi}$.

The proof of the imbedding $E_{\varphi} \hookrightarrow E_{\varphi_1} \odot E_{\varphi_2}$ is exactly the same as the proof of Theorem 5(a1) since the convexity of φ has not been used there, which proves the theorem. $\square$

5. The product of Lorentz and Marcinkiewicz spaces

Before proving results on the product of Lorentz and Marcinkiewicz spaces on $I = (0, 1)$ or $I = (0, \infty)$ we need some auxiliary lemmas on the Calderón construction and notion of the dilation operator.

The *dilation operator* $D_s, s > 0$, defined by $D_s x(t) = x(t/s) \chi_I(t/s), t \in I$ is bounded in any symmetric space E on I and $\|D_s\|_{E \rightarrow E} \leq \max(1, s)$ (see [Sh68, Lemma 1] in the case $I = (0, 1)$, [KPS82, pp. 96-98] for $I = (0, \infty)$ and [LT79, p. 130] for both cases). Moreover, the *Boyd indices* of E are defined by

$$\alpha_E = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\ln \|D_s\|_{E \rightarrow E}}{\ln s}, \beta_E = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \|D_s\|_{E \rightarrow E}}{\ln s},$$

and we have $0 \leq \alpha_E \leq \beta_E \leq 1$.

Lemma 3. *Let E, F be symmetric function spaces on I and $0 < \theta < 1$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2} \|z^*\|_{E^{\theta} F^{1-\theta}}^* \leq \|z^*\|_{E^{\theta} F^{1-\theta}} \leq \|z^*\|_{E^{\theta} F^{1-\theta}}^*,$$

where $\|z^*\|_{E^{\theta} F^{1-\theta}}^* := \inf \{ \max(\|x^*\|_E, \|y^*\|_F) : z^* \leq (x^*)^{\theta} (y^*)^{1-\theta}, x \in E_+, y \in F_+ \}$.

Proof. Since

$$\|x^*\|_E = \|D_2 D_{\frac{1}{2}} x^*\|_E \leq \|D_2\|_{E \rightarrow E} \|D_{\frac{1}{2}} x^*\|_E \leq 2 \|D_{\frac{1}{2}} x^*\|_E.$$

and $(|x|^\theta |y|^{1-\theta})^*(t) \leq x^*(t/2)^\theta y^*(t/2)^{1-\theta}$ for any $t \in I$ (cf. [KPS82, p. 67]) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z^*\|_{E^\theta F^{1-\theta}} &= \inf\{\max(\|x\|_E, \|y\|_F) : z^* \leq x^\theta y^{1-\theta}, x \in E_+, y \in F_+\} \\
&\geq \inf\{\max(\|x\|_E, \|y\|_F) : z^*(t) \leq x^*(t/2)^\theta y^*(t/2)^{1-\theta}, x \in E_+, y \in F_+\} \\
&= \inf\{\max(\|D_{\frac{1}{2}}x^*\|_E, \|D_{\frac{1}{2}}y^*\|_F) : z^*(t) \leq x^*(t)^\theta y^*(t)^{1-\theta}, x \in E_+, y \in F_+\} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \inf\{\max(\|x^*\|_E, \|y^*\|_F) : z^*(t) \leq x^*(t)^\theta y^*(t)^{1-\theta}, x \in E_+, y \in F_+\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \|z^*\|_{E^\theta F^{1-\theta}}^*.
\end{aligned}$$

The other estimate is clear and the lemma follows. $\square$

As a consequence of representation (8) and the above lemma with $\theta = 1/2$ we obtain

Corollary 7. *Let E, F be symmetric function spaces on I . Then*

$$\|z^*\|_{E \odot F} \leq \inf\{\|x\|_E \|y\|_F : z^* \leq x^* y^*, x \in E_+, y \in F_+\} \leq 2 \|z^*\|_{E \odot F}.$$

The idea of the proof of the next result is coming from Calderón [Ca64, Part 13.5]. For a Banach function space E on $I = (0, 1)$ or $(0, \infty)$ define new spaces (symmetrizations of E) $E^{(*)}$ and $E^{(**)}$ as

$$E^{(*)} = \{x \in L^0(I) : x^* \in E\}, \quad E^{(**)} = \{x \in L^0(I) : x^{**} \in E\}$$

with the functionals $\|x\|_{E^{(*)}} = \|x^*\|_E$ and $\|x\|_{E^{(**)}} = \|x^{**}\|_E$. If C_E denotes the smallest constant $1 \leq C < \infty$ such that

$$\|D_2 x^*\|_E \leq C \|x^*\|_E \quad \text{for all } x^* \in E, \quad (21)$$

then $E^{(*)}$ is a quasi-Banach symmetric space. The space $E^{(**)}$ is always a Banach symmetric space. Consider the Hardy operator H and its dual H^* defined by

$$Hx(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t x(s) ds, \quad H^*x(t) = \int_t^l \frac{x(s)}{s} ds \quad \text{with } l = m(I), \quad t \in I. \quad (22)$$

Remark 7. If E is a Banach function space on I and operator H is bounded in E , then (21) holds with $C_E \leq 2 \|H\|_{E \rightarrow E}$. This follows directly from the estimates

$$\|Hx^*\|_E = \left\| \int_0^1 x^*(st) ds \right\|_E \geq \left\| \int_0^{1/2} x^*(st) ds \right\|_E \geq \frac{1}{2} \|x^*(t/2)\|_E.$$

As we already mentioned before the Calderón spaces $E^\theta F^{1-\theta}$ can be also defined for quasi-Banach spaces E, F (cf. [Ov82], [Ni85], [KMP03]).

Lemma 4. *Let E and F be Banach function spaces on I and $0 < \theta < 1$. Suppose that both operators H, H^* are bounded in E and F . Then*

$$(E^{(*)})^\theta (F^{(*)})^{1-\theta} \xrightarrow{C_1} (E^\theta F^{1-\theta})^{(*)} \xrightarrow{C_2} (E^{(*)})^\theta (F^{(*)})^{1-\theta}, \quad (23)$$

where $C_1 = C_E^\theta C_F^{1-\theta}$, $C_2 = \|HH^*\|_{E \rightarrow E}^\theta \|HH^*\|_{F \rightarrow F}^{1-\theta}$ and

$$(E^{(**)})^\theta (F^{(**)})^{1-\theta} \xrightarrow{C_3} (E^\theta F^{1-\theta})^{(**)} \xrightarrow{C_3} (E^{(**)})^\theta (F^{(**)})^{1-\theta}, \quad (24)$$

where $C_3 = [\|H\|_{E \rightarrow E} \|HHH^*\|_{E \rightarrow E}]^\theta [\|H\|_{F \rightarrow F} \|HHH^*\|_{F \rightarrow F}]^{1-\theta}$.

Proof. Embeddings (23). Let $z \in (E^{(*)})^\theta (F^{(*)})^{1-\theta}$. Then $|z| \leq \lambda |x|^\theta |y|^{1-\theta}$ for some $\lambda > 0$ and $\|x^*\|_E \leq 1, \|y^*\|_F \leq 1$. Thus

$$z^*(t) \leq \lambda(|x|^\theta |y|^{1-\theta})^*(t) \leq \lambda x^*(t/2)^\theta y^*(t/2)^{1-\theta} = \lambda C_1 \left(\frac{x^*(t/2)}{C_E}\right)^\theta \left(\frac{y^*(t/2)}{C_F}\right)^{1-\theta}$$

for any $t \in I$, which means that $z \in (E^\theta F^{1-\theta})^{(*)}$ with the norm $\leq \lambda C_1$.

On the other hand, if $z \in (E^\theta F^{1-\theta})^{(*)}$, then $z^* \in E^\theta F^{1-\theta}$ and so

$$z^* \leq \lambda |x|^\theta |y|^{1-\theta} \text{ with some } \lambda > 0, \|x\|_E \leq 1, \|y\|_F \leq 1.$$

The following equality is true

$$HH^*x(t) = Hx(t) + H^*x(t), \quad t \in I. \quad (25)$$

In fact, using the Fubini theorem, we obtain for $x \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} HH^*x(t) &= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \left(\int_s^l \frac{x(r)}{r} dr \right) ds = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \left(\int_0^r ds \right) \frac{x(r)}{r} dr \\ &+ \frac{1}{t} \int_t^l \left(\int_0^t ds \right) \frac{x(r)}{r} dr = Hx(t) + H^*x(t). \end{aligned}$$

Using then equality (25) and twice Hölder-Rogers inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z^* &\leq H(z^*) \leq H(z^*) + H^*(z^*) = HH^*(z^*) \\ &\leq \lambda HH^*(|x|^\theta |y|^{1-\theta}) \leq \lambda H[(H^*|x|)^\theta (H^*|y|)^{1-\theta}] \\ &\leq \lambda [HH^*(|x|)]^\theta [HH^*(|y|)]^{1-\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Ryff theorem there exists a measure-preserving transformation $\omega : I \rightarrow I$ such that $|z| = z^*(\omega)$ a.e. (cf. [BS88], Theorem 7.5 for $I = (0, 1)$ or Corollary 7.6 for $I = (0, \infty)$ under additional assumption that $z^*(\infty) = 0$). Thus

$$|z| = z^*(\omega) \leq \lambda [HH^*(|x|)(\omega)]^\theta [HH^*(|y|)(\omega)]^{1-\theta} = \lambda u^\theta v^{1-\theta}.$$

Since $H^*|x|$ is non-increasing function it follows that $HH^*|x|$ is also non-increasing function and $HH^*|x| = [HH^*|x|]^* = [(HH^*|x|)(\omega)]^*$. Similarly with $HH^*|y|$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{E^{(*)}} &= \|u^*\|_E = \|[HH^*|x|](\omega)]^*\|_E \\ &= \|HH^*|x|\|_E \leq \|HH^*\|_{E \rightarrow E} \|x\|_E \leq \|HH^*\|_{E \rightarrow E} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{F^{(*)}} &= \|v^*\|_F = \|[HH^*|y|](\omega)]^*\|_F \\ &= \|HH^*|y|\|_F \leq \|HH^*\|_{F \rightarrow F} \|y\|_F \leq \|HH^*\|_{F \rightarrow F}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that $z \in (E^{(*)})^\theta (F^{(*)})^{1-\theta}$ with the norm $\leq \lambda C_2$.

To finish the proof in the case $I = (0, \infty)$ we need to show $z^*(\infty) = 0$. If we will have $z^*(\infty) = a > 0$, then $\lambda |x(t)|^\theta |y(t)|^{1-\theta} \geq a$ for almost all $t > 0$ and considering the sets

$A = \{t > 0 : |x(t)| \geq a/\lambda\}$, $B = \{t > 0 : |y(t)| \geq a/\lambda\}$ we obtain $A \cup B = (0, \infty)$ up to the set of measure zero. Then

$$H^*|x|(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{|x(s)|}{s} ds \geq \int_{A \cap (t, \infty)} \frac{a}{\lambda s} ds$$

and

$$H^*|y|(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{|y(s)|}{s} ds \geq \int_{B \cap (t, \infty)} \frac{a}{\lambda s} ds,$$

which means $H^*|x|(t) + H^*|y|(t) = +\infty$ for all $t > 0$. Since

$$(0, \infty) = \{t > 0 : H^*|x|(t) = \infty\} \cup \{t > 0 : H^*|y|(t) = \infty\}$$

(maybe except the set of measure zero) it follows that $H^*|x| \notin E$ or $H^*|y| \notin F$, which is a contradiction.

Embeddings (24). Let $z \in (E^{(**)})^\theta (F^{(**)})^{1-\theta}$. Then $|z| \leq \lambda |x|^\theta |y|^{1-\theta}$ for some $\lambda > 0$ and $\|x^{**}\|_E \leq 1$, $\|y^{**}\|_F \leq 1$. Thus

$$z^{**}(t) \leq \lambda (|x|^\theta |y|^{1-\theta})^{**}(t) \leq \lambda x^{**}(t)^\theta y^{**}(t)^{1-\theta}$$

for any $t \in I$, which means that $z \in (E^\theta F^{1-\theta})^{(**)}$ with the norm $\leq \lambda$.

On the other hand, if $z \in (E^\theta F^{1-\theta})^{(**)}$, then $z^{**} \in E^\theta F^{1-\theta}$ and repeating the above arguments we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |z| &= z^*(\omega) \leq z^{**}(\omega) = H z^*(\omega) \\ &\leq \lambda [HHH^*|x|(\omega)]^\theta [HHH^*|y|(\omega)]^{1-\theta} = \lambda u_1^\theta v_1^{1-\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $HHH^*|x| = [HHH^*|x|]^* = [(HHH^*|x|)(\omega)]^*$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_1\|_{E^{(**)}} &= \|u_1^{**}\|_E = \|Hu_1^*\|_E \leq \|H\|_{E \rightarrow E} \|u_1^*\|_E \\ &= \|H\|_{E \rightarrow E} \|[(HHH^*|x|)(\omega)]^*\|_E = \|H\|_{E \rightarrow E} \|HHH^*|x|\|_E \\ &\leq \|H\|_{E \rightarrow E} \|HHH^*\|_{E \rightarrow E} \|x\|_E \leq \|H\|_{E \rightarrow E} \|HHH^*\|_{E \rightarrow E} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_1\|_{F^{(**)}} &= \|v_1^{**}\|_F = \|Hv_1^*\|_F \leq \|H\|_{F \rightarrow F} \|v_1^*\|_F \\ &= \|H\|_{F \rightarrow F} \|[(HHH^*|y|)(\omega)]^*\|_F = \|H\|_{F \rightarrow F} \|HHH^*|y|\|_F \\ &\leq \|H\|_{F \rightarrow F} \|HHH^*\|_{F \rightarrow F} \|y\|_F \leq \|H\|_{F \rightarrow F} \|HHH^*\|_{F \rightarrow F}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $z \in (E^{(**)})^\theta (F^{(**)})^{1-\theta}$ with the norm $\leq \lambda C_3$, and the lemma follows. $\square$

Note that our proofs are working for both cases $I = (0, 1)$ and $I = (0, \infty)$. Our inclusions (23) were proved by Calderón but his result is true only in the case when $I = (0, \infty)$ (cf. [Ca64], pp. 167-169). Since he was working with the other composition H^*H , which in the case $I = (0, \infty)$ gives the equality $H^*H = H + H^*$. For $I = (0, 1)$ one gets another formula $H^*Hx(t) = Hx(t) + H^*x(t) - \int_0^1 x(s) ds$, which not allows then to proof the same result in this case.

For the identification of product spaces we will need result on the Calderón construction for weighted Lebesgue spaces $L^p(w) = \{x \in L^0(\mu) : xw \in L^p(\mu)\}$ with the norm $\|x\|_{L^p(w)} = \|xw\|_{L^p}$, where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $w \geq 0$. Result for $p_0 = p_1$ was given in [Ov84, p. 459] and for general Banach ideal spaces in [KM03, Theorem 2] (for $1 \leq p_0, p_1 < \infty$ it also follows implicitly from [BL76, Theorem 5.5.3] and results on relation between the complex method and the Calderón construction). We present here a direct proof.

Lemma 5. *Let $1 \leq p_0, p_1 \leq \infty$ and $0 < \theta < 1$. Then*

$$L^{p_0}(w_0)^{1-\theta} L^{p_1}(w_1)^\theta \equiv L^p(w), \quad (26)$$

where $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$ and $w = w_0^{1-\theta} w_1^\theta$.

Proof. Suppose $1 \leq p_0, p_1 < \infty$. If $x \in L^{p_0}(w_0)^{1-\theta} L^{p_1}(w_1)^\theta$, then $|x| \leq \lambda |x_0|^{1-\theta} |x_1|^\theta$ with $\|x_0\|_{L^{p_0}(w_0)} \leq 1$ and $\|x_1\|_{L^{p_1}(w_1)} \leq 1$. Using the Hölder-Rogers inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int |xw|^p d\mu &\leq \lambda^p \int |x_0 w_0|^{(1-\theta)p} |x_1 w_1|^{\theta p} d\mu \\ &\leq \lambda^p \left(\int |x_0 w_0|^{p_0} d\mu \right)^{(1-\theta)p/p_0} \left(\int |x_1 w_1|^{p_1} d\mu \right)^{\theta p/p_1} \\ &= \lambda^p \|x_0 w_0\|_{L^{p_0}}^{(1-\theta)p} \|x_1 w_1\|_{L^{p_1}}^{\theta p}, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\|x\|_{L^p(w)} \leq \lambda \|x_0 w_0\|_{L^{p_0}}^{1-\theta} \|x_1 w_1\|_{L^{p_1}}^\theta \leq \lambda$$

and so $x \in L^p(w)$ with the norm $\leq \lambda$.

On the other hand, if $0 \neq x \in L^p(w)$ then, considering $x_i(t) = \frac{|x(t)w(t)|^{p/p_i}}{\|x\|_{L^p(w)}^{p/p_i}} \frac{1}{w_i(t)}$ on the support of w_i and 0 otherwise ($i = 0, 1$), we obtain $|x(t)| = \|x\|_{L^p(w)} x_0(t)^{1-\theta} x_1(t)^\theta$ and

$$\|x_i\|_{L^{p_i}(w_i)}^{p_i} = \int [x_i(t) w_i(t)]^{p_i} d\mu = \int \frac{|x(t)w(t)|^p}{\|x\|_{L^p(w)}^p} d\mu = 1.$$

Therefore, $x \in L^{p_0}(w_0)^{1-\theta} L^{p_1}(w_1)^\theta$ with norm $\leq \|x\|_{L^p(w)}$ and equality (26) is proved. The proof for the case when one or both p_0, p_1 are ∞ is even simpler. $\square$

We want to calculate product spaces of Lorentz space Λ_ϕ and Marcinkiewicz space M_ϕ on I , where ϕ is a quasi-concave function on I with $\phi(0^+) = 0$. We will do this, in fact, for some other closely connected spaces. Consider the Lorentz space $\Lambda_{\phi,1}$ and more general Lorentz space $\Lambda_{\phi,p}$ with $0 < p < \infty$ on I defined, respectively, as

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{\phi,1} &= \{x \in L^0(I) : \|x\|_{\Lambda_{\phi,1}} = \int_I x^*(t) \frac{\phi(t)}{t} dt < \infty\}, \\ \Lambda_{\phi,p} &= \{x \in L^0(I) : \|x\|_{\Lambda_{\phi,p}} = \left(\int_I [\phi(t) x^*(t)]^p \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/p} < \infty\}, \end{aligned}$$

Space $\Lambda_{\phi,1}$ is a Banach space and if $\phi(t) \leq at\phi'(t)$ for all $t \in I$, then $\Lambda_{\phi,1} \xhookrightarrow{1} \Lambda_{\phi} \xrightarrow{a} \Lambda_{\phi,1}$ (space Λ_{ϕ} was defined in Part 1). Consider also another Marcinkiewicz space M_{ϕ}^* than the space M_{ϕ} defined in Part 1, as

$$M_{\phi}^* = M_{\phi}^*(I) = \{x \in L^0(I) : \|x\|_{M_{\phi}^*} = \sup_{t \in I} \phi(t)x^*(t) < \infty\}.$$

This Marcinkiewicz space need not be a Banach space and always we have $M_{\phi} \xhookrightarrow{1} M_{\phi}^*$. Moreover, $M_{\phi}^* \xhookrightarrow{C} M_{\phi}$ if and only if

$$\int_0^t \frac{1}{\phi(s)} ds \leq C \frac{t}{\phi(t)} \text{ for all } t \in I. \quad (27)$$

In fact, since $\frac{1}{\phi} \in M_{\phi}^*$ then estimate (27) is necessary for the imbedding. On the other hand, if (27) holds and $x \in M_{\phi}^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{M_{\phi}} &= \sup_{t \in I} \phi(t)x^{**}(t) = \sup_{t \in I} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\phi(s)} \phi(s)x^*(s) ds \\ &\leq \sup_{s \in I} \phi(s)x^*(s) \sup_{t \in I} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\phi(s)} ds \leq C \|x\|_{M_{\phi}^*}. \end{aligned}$$

We can consider spaces $\Lambda_{w,1}$, $\Lambda_{w,p}$ and M_w^* for more general weights $w \geq 0$, but then the problem of being quasi-Banach space or Banach space will appear. Such investigations can be found in [CKMP] and [KM04].

Since indices of the quasi-concave function on I are useful in the formulation of further results let us define them. The lower index $p_{\phi,I}$ and upper index $q_{\phi,I}$ of a function ϕ on I are numbers defined as

$$p_{\phi,I} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\ln m_{\phi,I}(t)}{\ln t}, \quad q_{\phi,I} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln m_{\phi,I}(t)}{\ln t}, \quad \text{where } m_{\phi,I}(t) = \sup_{s \in I, st \in I} \frac{\phi(st)}{\phi(s)}.$$

It is known (see, for example, [KPS82] and [Ma85], [Ma89]) that for a quasi-concave function ϕ on $[0, \infty)$ we have $0 \leq p_{\phi,[0,\infty)} \leq p_{\phi,[0,1]} \leq q_{\phi,[0,1]} \leq q_{\phi,[0,\infty)} \leq 1$. Moreover, estimate (27) is equivalent to $q_{\phi,I} < 1$. We also need for a differentiable increasing function ϕ on I with $\phi(0^+) = 0$ the *Simonenko indices*

$$s_{\phi,I} = \inf_{t \in I} \frac{t\phi'(t)}{\phi(t)}, \quad \sigma_{\phi,I} = \sup_{t \in I} \frac{t\phi'(t)}{\phi(t)}.$$

They satisfy $0 \leq s_{\phi,I} \leq p_{\phi,I} \leq q_{\phi,I} \leq \sigma_{\phi,I}$ (cf. [Ma85, p. 22] and [Ma89, Theorem 11.11]).

Theorem 7.

- (i) If ϕ, ψ are quasi-concave functions on I , then $M_{\phi\psi}^* \xhookrightarrow{1} M_{\phi}^* \odot M_{\psi}^* \xhookrightarrow{2} M_{\phi\psi}^*$.
- (ii) Let ϕ, ψ and $\phi\psi$ be increasing concave functions on I with $\phi(0^+) = \psi(0^+) = 0$. If $s_{\phi,I} \geq a > 0$ and $s_{\phi\psi,I} \geq b > 0$, then $\Lambda_{\phi} \odot M_{\psi}^* \xhookrightarrow{4+4/a} \Lambda_{\phi\psi} \xhookrightarrow{2/b} \Lambda_{\phi} \odot M_{\psi}^*$.

(iii) Let ϕ, ψ be quasi-concave functions on I such that $0 < p_{\phi, I} \leq q_{\phi, I} < 1$ and $0 < p_{\psi, I} \leq q_{\psi, I} < 1$, then

$$\Lambda_{\phi, 1} \odot \Lambda_{\psi, 1} = \Lambda_{\phi\psi, 1/2}, \quad \Lambda_{\phi, 1} \odot M_{\psi}^* = \Lambda_{\phi\psi, 1}, \quad M_{\phi}^* \odot M_{\psi}^* = M_{\phi\psi}^*$$

with equivalent quasi-norms.

Proof. (i) For each $z \in M_{\phi\psi}^*$ one has $z^* \leq \frac{\|z\|_{M_{\phi\psi}^*}}{\phi\psi}$, but since $\frac{\|z\|_{M_{\phi\psi}^*}}{\phi\psi} \in M_{\phi}^* \odot M_{\psi}^*$ it follows that $z \in M_{\phi}^* \odot M_{\psi}^*$ and $\|z\|_{M_{\phi}^* \odot M_{\psi}^*} \leq \|z\|_{M_{\phi\psi}^*}$.

If $z \in M_{\phi}^* \odot M_{\psi}^*$, then, by Corollary 7, we have $z^* \leq x^* y^*$ for some $x^* \in M_{\phi}^*, y^* \in M_{\psi}^*$ and $\inf\{\|x\|_{M_{\phi}^*} \|y\|_{M_{\psi}^*} : z^* \leq x^* y^*\} \leq 2\|z\|_{M_{\phi}^* \odot M_{\psi}^*}$. But $x^* y^* \leq \frac{\|x\|_{M_{\phi}^*}}{\phi} \frac{\|y\|_{M_{\psi}^*}}{\psi}$ and so

$$\|z\|_{M_{\phi\psi}^*} = \sup_{t \in I} \phi(t) \psi(t) z^*(t) \leq \sup_{t \in I} \phi(t) \psi(t) x^*(t) y^*(t) \leq \|x\|_{M_{\phi}^*} \|y\|_{M_{\psi}^*}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|z\|_{M_{\phi\psi}^*} \leq \inf\{\|x\|_{M_{\phi}^*} \|y\|_{M_{\psi}^*} : z^* \leq x^* y^*\} \leq 2\|z\|_{M_{\phi}^* \odot M_{\psi}^*}.$$

(ii) Let $z \in \Lambda_{\phi} \odot M_{\psi}^*$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find $x \in \Lambda_{\phi}, y \in M_{\psi}^*$ such that $z = xy$ and $\|x\|_{\Lambda_{\phi}} \|y\|_{M_{\psi}^*} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|z\|_{\Lambda_{\phi} \odot M_{\psi}^*}$. Since $\psi'(t) \leq \psi(t)/t$ and $\phi(t) \leq \frac{1}{a} t \phi'(t)$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I z^*(t) d(\phi\psi)(t) &\leq \int_I x^*(t/2) y^*(t/2) [\phi'(t)\psi(t) + \phi(t)\psi'(t)] dt \\ &\leq 2 \int_I x^*(t/2) y^*(t/2) \psi(t/2) \phi'(t) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{a} \int_I x^*(t/2) y^*(t/2) t \phi'(t) \frac{\psi(t)}{t} dt \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{s \in I} \psi(s) y^*(s) \int_I x^*(t/2) \phi'(t) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{a} \sup_{s \in I} \psi(s) y^*(s) \int_I x^*(t/2) \phi'(t) dt \\ &\leq (2 + 2/a) \|y\|_{M_{\psi}^*} \|D_2 x\|_{\Lambda_{\phi}} \\ &\leq (4 + 4/a) \|y\|_{M_{\psi}^*} \|x\|_{\Lambda_{\phi}} \leq (4 + 4/a)(1 + \varepsilon) \|z\|_{\Lambda_{\phi} \odot M_{\psi}^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary the first inclusion of (ii) is proved. To prove the second inclusion assume that $z = z^* \in \Lambda_{\phi\psi}$. Then

$$w(t) = z(t) \frac{\phi(t)\psi(t)}{t} \in L^1(I) \text{ and } w = w^*.$$

Moreover,

$$\|w\|_{L^1} = \int_I z^*(t) \frac{\phi(t)\psi(t)}{t} dt \leq \frac{1}{b} \int_I z^*(t) d(\phi\psi)(t) = \frac{1}{b} \|z\|_{\Lambda_{\phi\psi}}.$$

Using the Lorentz result on the duality $(\Lambda_{\phi})' \equiv M_{t/\phi(t)}$ (see [Lo51, Theorem 6], [Lo53, Theorem 3.6.1]; see also [KPS82, Theorem 5.2] for separable Λ_{ϕ} , [HM92, Proposition

2.5(a)] with $p = q = 1$, [KM07, Theorem 2.2]) and Lozanovskii's factorization theorem $L^1 \equiv \Lambda_\phi \odot (\Lambda_\phi)' \equiv \Lambda_\phi \odot M_{t/\phi(t)}$ we can find $u \in \Lambda_\phi$, $v \in M_{t/\phi(t)}$ such that

$$w^* = uv \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{\Lambda_\phi} \|v\|_{M_{t/\phi(t)}} \leq \|w\|_{L^1}.$$

By Corollary 7 we can find $u_0 \in \Lambda_\phi$, $v_0 \in M_{t/\phi(t)} \xrightarrow{1} M_{t/\phi(t)}^*$ such that

$$w^* \leq u_0^* v_0^* \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_0\|_{\Lambda_\phi} \|v_0\|_{M_{t/\phi(t)}} \leq 2 \|u\|_{\Lambda_\phi} \|v\|_{M_{t/\phi(t)}}.$$

Let

$$x(t) = \frac{t}{\phi(t)} \frac{w^*(t)}{\|v_0\|_{M_{t/\phi(t)}}} \quad \text{and} \quad y = \frac{w^*}{u_0^*}.$$

Then $x(t) \leq \frac{w^*(t)}{v_0^*(t)} \leq u_0^*(t)$ because $M_{t/\phi(t)} \xrightarrow{1} M_{t/\phi(t)}^*$. Also $y(t) \leq v_0^*(t)$ and $z(t) \frac{\phi(t)\psi(t)}{t} = w(t) = x(t) \frac{\phi(t)}{t} \|v_0\|_{M_{t/\phi(t)}}$, hence

$$z(t) = x(t) \frac{1}{\psi(t)} \|v_0\|_{M_{t/\phi(t)}}$$

with $x \in \Lambda_\phi$ and $\frac{\|v_0\|_{M_{t/\phi(t)}}}{\psi(t)} \in M_\psi^*$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\|_{\Lambda_\phi \odot M_\psi^*} &\leq \|x\|_{\Lambda_\phi} \left\| \frac{1}{\psi} \right\|_{M_\psi^*} \|v_0\|_{M_{t/\phi(t)}} \leq \|u_0\|_{\Lambda_\phi} \|v_0\|_{M_{t/\phi(t)}} \\ &\leq 2 \|u\|_{\Lambda_\phi} \|v\|_{M_{t/\phi(t)}} \leq 2 \|w\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{2}{b} \|z\|_{\Lambda_{\phi\psi}} \end{aligned}$$

and the proof of (ii) is complete.

(iii) If $0 < p_{\phi,I} \leq q_{\phi,I} < 1$, then both operators H, H^* are bounded on $L^1(\frac{\phi(t)}{t})$ (see [KMP07], Theorem 4) and using Lemmas 4 and 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{\phi,1}^\theta \Lambda_{\psi,1}^{1-\theta} &= [L^1(\frac{\phi(t)}{t})^{(*)}]^\theta [L^1(\frac{\psi(t)}{t})^{(*)}]^{1-\theta} = [L^1(\frac{\phi(t)}{t})^\theta [L^1(\frac{\psi(t)}{t})^{1-\theta}]^{(*)}] \\ &= L^1(\frac{\phi(t)^\theta \psi(t)^{1-\theta}}{t})^{(*)} = \Lambda_{\phi^\theta \psi^{1-\theta},1} \end{aligned}$$

with equivalent quasi-norms. Thus by Theorem 1(iv) we obtain

$$\Lambda_{\phi,1} \odot \Lambda_{\psi,1} = (\Lambda_{\phi,1}^{1/2} \Lambda_{\psi,1}^{1/2})^{(1/2)} = (\Lambda_{\phi^{1/2} \psi^{1/2},1})^{(1/2)} = \Lambda_{\phi\psi,1/2}$$

with equivalent quasi-norms. The last space is not normable since it contains isomorphic copy of $l^{1/2}$ (see [KM04], Theorem 1).

If $0 < p_{\phi,I} \leq q_{\phi,I} < 1$, then both operators H, H^* are bounded on $L^\infty(\phi)$ which can be proved directly. To show this we only need here to see equivalence of the corresponding integral inequalities on ϕ with assumptions on indices of ϕ and this is proved, for example, in [Ma85, Theorem 6.4] or [Ma89, Theorem 11.8] (see also [KPS], pp. 56-57). Then, using Lemmas 4 and 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{\phi,1}^\theta (M_\psi^*)^{1-\theta} &= [L^1(\frac{\phi(t)}{t})^{(*)}]^\theta [L^\infty(\psi)^{(*)}]^{1-\theta} = [L^1(\frac{\phi(t)}{t})^\theta [L^\infty(\psi)^{1-\theta}]^{(*)}] \\ &= L^{1/\theta}(\frac{\phi(t)^\theta \psi(t)^{1-\theta}}{t^\theta})^{(*)} = \Lambda_{\phi^\theta \psi^{1-\theta},1/\theta} \end{aligned}$$

with equivalent quasi-norms. Thus, by Theorem 1(iv), we obtain

$$\Lambda_{\phi,1} \odot M_{\psi}^* = [\Lambda_{\phi,1}^{1/2} (M_{\psi}^*)^{1/2}]^{(1/2)} = (\Lambda_{\phi^{1/2}\psi^{1/2},2})^{(1/2)} = \Lambda_{\phi\psi,1}$$

with equivalent quasi-norms. Similarly for Marcinkiewicz spaces

$$\begin{aligned} (M_{\phi}^*)^{\theta} (M_{\psi}^*)^{1-\theta} &= [L^{\infty}(\phi)^{(*)}]^{\theta} [L^{\infty}(\psi)^{(*)}]^{1-\theta} = [L^{\infty}(\phi)^{\theta} L^{\infty}(\psi)^{1-\theta}]^{(*)} \\ &= L^{\infty}(\phi^{\theta} \psi^{1-\theta})^{(*)} = M_{\phi^{\theta} \psi^{1-\theta}}^* \end{aligned}$$

and, by Theorem 1(iv), we obtain

$$M_{\phi}^* \odot M_{\psi}^* = [(M_{\phi}^*)^{1/2} (M_{\psi}^*)^{1/2}]^{(1/2)} = (M_{\phi^{1/2}\psi^{1/2}}^*)^{(1/2)} = M_{\phi\psi}^*$$

with equivalent quasi-norms. This proves theorem completely. $\square$

6. Factorization of some Banach ideal spaces

The factorization theorem of Lozanovskii states that for any Banach ideal space E the space L^1 has a factorization $L^1 \equiv E \odot E'$. The natural generalization of the type

$$F \equiv E \odot M(E, F) \tag{28}$$

is not true without additional assumptions on the spaces, as we can see on the example below.

Example 2. If $E = L^{p,1}$ with the norm $\|x\|_E = \frac{1}{p} \int_I t^{1/p-1} x^*(t) dt$ for $1 < p < \infty$, then $M(L^{p,1}, L^p) \equiv L^{\infty}$ (cf. [MP89], Theorem 3) and

$$L^{p,1} \odot M(L^{p,1}, L^p) \equiv L^{p,1} \odot L^{\infty} \equiv L^{p,1} \subsetneq L^p.$$

Therefore, factorization (28) is not true and we even don't have factorization $L^p = E \odot M(E, L^p)$ with equivalent norms. Similarly, if $F = L^{p,\infty}$ with the norm $\|x\|_F = \sup_{t \in I} t^{1/p} x^{**}(t)$ for $1 < p < \infty$, then $M(L^p, L^{p,\infty}) \equiv M(L^{p',1}, L^{p'}) \equiv L^{\infty}$ and

$$L^p \odot M(L^p, L^{p,\infty}) \equiv L^p \odot L^{\infty} \equiv L^p \subsetneq L^{p,\infty}.$$

Therefore, again factorization (28) is not true and we even don't have equality $F = L^p \odot M(L^p, F)$ with equivalent norms.

Let us collect some factorization results of type (28). First of all the Lozanovskii factorization theorem was announced in 1967 (cf. [Lo67], Theorem 4) and published with detailed proof in 1969 (cf. [Lo69], Theorem 6). His proof uses the Calderón space $F = E^{1/2}(E')^{1/2}$ and result about its dual $F'' \equiv F' \equiv L^2$ (cf. [Lo69], Theorem 5; see also [Ma89, p. 185] and [Re93]). Lozanovskii factorization theorem was new even for finite dimensional spaces. In 1976 Jamison and Ruckle [JR76] proved that l^1 factors through every normal Banach sequence space and its Köthe dual. Proof even in the finite dimensional case is indirect and it uses the Brouwer fixed point theorem. Later on Lozanovskii's factorization result was proved by Gillespie [Gi81], using different method,

which inspiration was coming from the theory of reflexive algebras of operators on Hilbert space.

If E, F are finite dimensional ideal spaces and B_E, B_F denote their unit balls, then Bollobás and Leader [BL95], with the help of Jamison-Ruckle method, proved factorization $B_E \odot B_{M(E,F)} \equiv B_F$ under assumptions that B_F is a strictly unconditional body and $B_{M(E,F)}$ is smooth.

Nilsson [Ni85, Lemma 2.5], using the Maurey factorization theorem (cf. [Ma74, Theorem 8]; see also [Wo91, pp. 264-266]), proved the following result of type (28): if E is a Banach ideal space which is p -convex with constant 1, then

$$E' \equiv L^{p'} \odot M(E, L^p) \equiv L^{p'} \odot M(L^{p'}, E'). \quad (29)$$

By duality result and (29) we obtain that if F is a Banach ideal space with the Fatou property which is q -concave with constant 1 for $1 < q < \infty$, then

$$F = F'' \equiv L^q \odot M(F', L^{q'}) \equiv L^q \odot M(L^q, F). \quad (30)$$

Factorization (30) was proved and used by Nilsson [Ni85, Theorem 2.4] in a new proof of the Pisier theorem (cf. [Pi79a, Theorem 2.10], [Pi79b, Theorem 2.2]; see also [TJ89, Theorem 28.1]): if a Banach ideal space E with the Fatou property is p -convex and q -concave with constants 1, $1 < r < \infty$, and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{\theta}{r} + 1 - \theta$, $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{\theta}{r}$, then the space $E_0 \equiv M(L^q, E)^{(1-\theta)}$ is a Banach ideal space and $E \equiv E_0^{1-\theta}(L^r)^\theta$. First part of the proof follows from the facts that

$$\| |x|^{1-\theta} \|_{M(L^q, E)}^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}} \equiv \| |x|^{1/s'} \|_{M(L^s, E^{(1/p)})}^{s'} \equiv \| |x|^{1/s'} \|_{M((E^{(1/p)})', L^{s'})}^{s'},$$

$E^{(1/p)}$ is a Banach space and $M((E^{(1/p)})', L^{s'})$ is s' -convex with constant 1, where $s = \frac{r}{\theta p}$. Second part uses (30) and by Theorem 1(ii) we obtain

$$E_0^{1-\theta}(L^r)^\theta \equiv E_0^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}} \odot L^{\frac{r}{\theta}} \equiv E_0^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}} \odot L^q \equiv M(L^q, E) \odot L^q \equiv E.$$

Schep proved factorization (30) and also the reverse implication, that is, if (30) holds, then the space F is q -concave with constant 1 (cf. [Sc10], Theorem 3.9). He has also proved another factorization result (even equivalence - see [Sc10], Theorem 3.3): if Banach ideal space E with the Fatou property is p -convex with constant 1 ($1 < p < \infty$), then

$$L^p \equiv E \odot M(E, L^p). \quad (31)$$

His proof has misprints in Theorem 3.2. The proof should be as follows: using property (g) from [MP89] we obtain

$$M(E, L^p)^{(1/p)} \equiv M(E^{(1/p)}, (L^p)^{(1/p)}) \equiv M(E^{(1/p)}, L^1) \equiv [E^{(1/p)}]',$$

and by the Lozanovskii factorization theorem

$$E^{(1/p)} \odot M(E, L^p)^{(1/p)} \equiv E^{(1/p)} \odot [E^{(1/p)}]' \equiv L^1.$$

Taking then p -convexification on both sides and using Theorem 1(iii) we get

$$E \odot M(E, L^p) \equiv [E^{(1/p)} \odot M(E, L^p)^{(1/p)}]^{(p)} \equiv (L^1)^{(p)} \equiv L^p.$$

Note that factorization theorem of the type (30): $F = l^q \odot M(l^q, F)$ for any q -concave Banach space F with a monotone unconditional basis was proved already in 1980 (cf. [LT-J80], Corollary 3.2).

If a space E has the Fatou property, then in the definition of the norm of $E \odot E'$ we may take “minimum” instead of “infimum”. It is known that the Fatou property of E is equivalent with the isometric equality $E \equiv E''$. Then E is called *perfect*. This notion can be generalized to F -perfectness. We say that E is F -perfect if $M(M(E, F), F) \equiv E$ (see [MP89], [CDS08] and [Sc10] for more information about F -perfectness). Is there any connection between factorization (28) and to be F -perfect by E ?

Theorem 8. *Let E, F be Banach ideal spaces with the Fatou property. Then factorization $E \odot M(E, F) \equiv F$ implies F -perfectness of E , i.e., $M(M(E, F), F) \equiv E$.*

Proof. Schep [Sc10, Theorem 2.8] proved that if $E \odot F$ is a Banach ideal space, then $M(E, E \odot F) \equiv F$ (see also Theorem 4 above). Since $E \odot M(E, F) \equiv F$ is a Banach ideal space by assumption, therefore from the above Schep result we obtain

$$M(M(E, F), F) \equiv M(M(E, F), E \odot M(E, F)) \equiv E,$$

which is F -perfectness of E . □

The example of Bollobas and Brightwell [BB00], presented in [Sc10, Example 3.6], shows that the reverse implication is not true, even for three-dimensional spaces.

Almost all proofs in factorization theorems are tricky or use powerful theorems and, in fact, equality $E \odot M(E, F) \equiv F$ is proved without calculating $M(E, F)$ directly. Except some special cases it seems to be the only way to prove equality of the norms in (28). However, it seems to be also useful to have equality (28) with just equivalence of the norms, that is,

$$F = E \odot M(E, F). \tag{32}$$

This can be done by finding $M(E, F)$ and $E \odot M(E, F)$ separately and we will do so. Observe also that if a Banach ideal space E is p -convex ($1 < p < \infty$) with constant $K > 1$, then $E^{(1/p)}$ is 1-convex with constant K^p and

$$\|x\|^0 = \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|_{E^{(1/p)}} : |x| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|, x_k \in E^{(1/p)}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

defines norm on $E^{(1/p)}$ with $K^{-p}\|x\|_{E^{(1/p)}} \leq \|x\|^0 \leq \|x\|_{E^{(1/p)}}$. Thus $E_0 = (E^{(1/p)}, \|\cdot\|^0)$ is a Banach ideal space and its p -convexification $E_0^{(p)} = E$ with the norm $\|x\|^1 = [|||x|^p||^0]^{1/p}$ is p -convex with constant 1 (cf. [LT79, Lemma 1.f.11] and [ORS08, Proposition 2.23]), and we can use result from (29) to obtain $E' = L^{p'} \odot M(L^{p'}, E')$.

As a straightforward conclusion from Corollary 6.1 in [KLM12] and Theorem A(a) with Theorem 5(a) we get the following factorization theorem for the Calderón-Lozanovskii E_φ -spaces.

Theorem 9. *Let E be a Banach ideal space with the Fatou property and $\text{supp} E = \Omega$. Suppose that for two Young functions φ, φ_1 there is a Young function φ_2 such that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) $\varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1} \approx \varphi^{-1}$ for all arguments,
- (ii) $\varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1} \approx \varphi^{-1}$ for large arguments and $L^\infty \hookrightarrow E$,
- (iii) $\varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1} \approx \varphi^{-1}$ for small arguments and $E \hookrightarrow L^\infty$.

Then the factorization $E_{\varphi_1} \odot M(E_{\varphi_1}, E_\varphi) = E_\varphi$ with equivalent norms is valid and, in consequence, the space E_{φ_1} is E_φ -perfect up to equivalence of norms.

Moreover, applying Lemma 7.4 from [KLM12] to the Theorem 9(i) one has the following special case.

Corollary 8. *Let φ, φ_1 be two Orlicz functions, and let E Banach an ideal space with the Fatou property and $\text{supp} E = \Omega$. If the function $f_v(u) = \frac{\varphi(uv)}{\varphi_1(u)}$ is non-increasing on $(0, \infty)$ for any $v > 0$, then the factorization $E_{\varphi_1} \odot M(E_{\varphi_1}, E_\varphi) = E_\varphi$ is valid with equivalent norms and, in consequence, the space E_{φ_1} is E_φ -perfect up to equivalence of the norms.*

Proof. It is enough to take as φ_2 the function defined by

$$\varphi_2(u) = (\varphi \ominus \varphi_1)(u) = \sup_{v>0} [\varphi(uv) - \varphi_1(v)]$$

and use the fact proved in [KLM12, Lemma 7.4] showing that $\varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1} \approx \varphi^{-1}$ for all arguments. $\square$

Before we consider factorization of Lorentz and Marcinkiewicz let us calculate “missing” multipliers spaces.

Proposition 3. *Suppose ϕ, ψ are non-decreasing, concave functions on I with $\phi(0^+) = \psi(0^+) = 0$. Let E and F be symmetric spaces on I with fundamental functions $f_E(t) = \phi(t)$ and $f_F(t) = \psi(t)$. If $\omega(t) = \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \frac{\psi(s)}{\phi(s)}$ is finite for any $t \in I$, then*

$$M(\Lambda_\phi, F) \xhookrightarrow{1} M_\omega, \quad M(E, M_\psi) \xhookrightarrow{1} M_\omega, \quad \text{and} \quad M_\omega^* \xhookrightarrow{1} M(\Lambda_{\phi,1}, \Lambda_{\psi,1}).$$

If, moreover, $s_{\phi,I} \geq a > 0$, then $M_\omega^* \xhookrightarrow{1/a} M(\Lambda_\phi, \Lambda_\psi)$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.2(iv) in [KLM12] the function ω is a fundamental function of $M(\Lambda_\phi, F)$ and by the maximality of the space M_ω and Theorem 2.2(i) in [KLM12] we obtain imbedding $M(\Lambda_\phi, F) \xhookrightarrow{1} M_\omega$. On the other hand, using the property (e) from [MP89, p. 326] about duality of multipliers, the duality $(M_\psi)' \equiv \Lambda_{t/\psi(t)}$ and the above result we obtain

$$M(E, M_\psi) \equiv M((M_\psi)', E') \equiv M(\Lambda_{t/\psi(t)}, E') \xhookrightarrow{1} M_\omega.$$

Two other imbeddings will be proved if we show that $\frac{1}{\omega}$ belongs to the corresponding spaces. Since, by Theorem 2.2(ii) in [KLM12], we have $\|y\|_{M(E,F)} = \sup_{\|x^*\|_E \leq 1} \|x^* y^*\|_F$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{\omega} \right\|_{M(\Lambda_{\phi,1}, \Lambda_{\psi,1})} &= \sup_{\|x\|_{\Lambda_{\phi,1}} \leq 1} \int_I \left(x^* \frac{1}{\omega} \right)^* (t) \frac{\psi(t)}{t} dt = \sup_{\|x\|_{\Lambda_{\phi,1}} \leq 1} \int_I x^*(t) \frac{1}{\omega(t)} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} dt \\ &= \sup_{\|x\|_{\Lambda_{\phi,1}} \leq 1} \int_I x^*(t) \inf_{0 < s \leq t} \frac{\phi(s)}{\psi(s)} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} dt \leq \sup_{\|x\|_{\Lambda_{\phi,1}} \leq 1} \int_I x^*(t) \frac{\phi(t)}{t} dt \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and, again by the above mentioned result in [KLM12],

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{\omega} \right\|_{M(\Lambda_{\phi}, \Lambda_{\psi})} &= \sup_{\|x\|_{\Lambda_{\phi}} \leq 1} \int_I x^*(t) \frac{1}{\omega(t)} \psi'(t) dt = \sup_{\|x\|_{\Lambda_{\phi}} \leq 1} \int_I x^*(t) \inf_{0 < s \leq t} \frac{\phi(s)}{\psi(s)} \psi'(t) dt \\ &\leq \sup_{\|x\|_{\Lambda_{\phi}} \leq 1} \int_I x^*(t) \frac{\phi(t)}{t} dt \leq \sup_{\|x\|_{\Lambda_{\phi}} \leq 1} \frac{1}{a} \int_I x^*(t) \phi'(t) dt \leq 1/a, \end{aligned}$$

and all imbeddings are proved. $\square$

Putting together previous results on products and multipliers of Lorentz and Marcinkiewicz spaces we are ready to proof factorization of these spaces.

Theorem 10. *Let ϕ, ψ be a non-decreasing, concave functions on I with $\phi(0^+) = \psi(0^+) = 0$. Suppose $\frac{\psi(t)}{\phi(t)}$ is a non-decreasing function on I .*

(a) *If $s_{\phi,I} > 0$ and $s_{\psi,I} > 0$, then $\Lambda_{\phi} \odot M(\Lambda_{\phi}, \Lambda_{\psi}) = \Lambda_{\psi}$.*

Moreover, for any symmetric space F on I with the fundamental function $f_F(t) = \psi(t)$ and under the above assumptions on ϕ and ψ we have

$$\Lambda_{\phi} \odot M(\Lambda_{\phi}, F) = F \text{ if and only if } F = \Lambda_{\psi}. \quad (33)$$

(b) *If $\sigma_{\phi,I} < 1$ and $\sigma_{\psi,I} < 1$, then $M_{\phi} \odot M(M_{\phi}, M_{\psi}) = M_{\psi}$.*

Moreover, for any symmetric space E on I having Fatou property, with the fundamental function $f_E(t) = \phi(t)$ and under the above assumptions on ϕ and ψ we have

$$E \odot M(E, M_{\psi}) = M_{\psi} \text{ if and only if } E = M_{\phi}. \quad (34)$$

(c) *If $\sigma_{\phi,I} < 1$, $s_{\psi,I} > 0$ and $s_{\psi/\phi,I} > 0$, then*

$$M_{\phi} \odot M(M_{\phi}, \Lambda_{\psi}) = \Lambda_{\psi}. \quad (35)$$

Proof. (a) Using Proposition 3 we have

$$M(\Lambda_{\phi}, \Lambda_{\psi}) \xrightarrow{1} M_{\omega} \xrightarrow{1} M_{\omega}^* \xrightarrow{1/a} M(\Lambda_{\phi}, \Lambda_{\psi}), \text{ where } \omega(t) = \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \frac{\psi(s)}{\phi(s)}.$$

Since ψ/ϕ is a non-decreasing function on I it follows that $\phi\omega = \psi$, $s_{\phi\omega,I} = s_{\psi,I} > 0$ and, by Theorem 7(ii),

$$\Lambda_{\phi} \odot M(\Lambda_{\phi}, \Lambda_{\psi}) = \Lambda_{\phi} \odot M_{\omega}^* = \Lambda_{\psi}$$

with equivalent norms. Under the assumptions on F we have from Proposition 3 the imbedding $M(\Lambda_\phi, F) \xrightarrow{1} M_\omega$ and then, by Theorem 7(ii),

$$F = \Lambda_\phi \odot M(\Lambda_\phi, F) \xrightarrow{1} \Lambda_\phi \odot M_\omega \xrightarrow{1} \Lambda_\phi \odot M_\omega^* = \Lambda_\psi.$$

Minimality of Λ_ψ gives $F = \Lambda_\psi$.

(b) From the fact that $(M_\phi)' \equiv \Lambda_{t/\phi(t)}$, the general duality property of multipliers (see [MP89], property (e)) and using Proposition 3 we obtain

$$M(M_\phi, M_\psi) \equiv M(M'_\psi, M'_\phi) \equiv M(\Lambda_{t/\psi(t)}, \Lambda_{t/\phi(t)}) = M_\omega^*$$

because $s_{t/\psi(t), I} = 1 - \sigma_{\psi, I} > 0$. Since ψ/ϕ is a non-decreasing function on I it follows that $\phi\omega = \psi$, $\sigma_{\phi\omega, I} = \sigma_{\psi, I} < 1$ and by Theorem 7(i) with the fact that $\sigma_{\phi, I} < 1$ we have

$$M_\phi \odot M(M_\phi, M_\psi) = M_\phi \odot M_\omega = M_\phi^* \odot M_\omega^* = M_{\phi\omega}^* = M_\psi^* = M_\psi.$$

Under the assumptions on E we obtain from Proposition 3 that $M(E, M_\psi) \xrightarrow{1} M_\omega$ and

$$M_\psi = E \odot M(E, M_\psi) \xrightarrow{1} E \odot M_\omega.$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 7(i) and assumption $q_{\psi, I} < 1$

$$M_\phi \odot M_\omega \xrightarrow{1} M_\phi^* \odot M_\omega^* \xrightarrow{2} M_{\phi\omega}^* \equiv M_\psi^* = M_\psi.$$

Therefore, $M_\phi \odot M_\omega \xrightarrow{C} E \odot M_\omega$. Using now Schep's theorem, saying that if $E \odot F \xrightarrow{C} E \odot G$, then $F \xrightarrow{C} G$ (see [Sc10], Theorem 2.5), we obtain $M_\phi \xrightarrow{C} E$. Maximality of Marcinkiewicz space M_ϕ implies that $E = M_\phi$ since fundamental function of E is $f_E(t) = \phi(t)$ for all $t \in I$.

(c) Using Theorem 2.2(v) from [KLM12] we obtain $M(M_\phi, \Lambda_\psi) \equiv \Lambda_\eta$, where $\eta(t) = \int_0^t (\frac{s}{\phi(s)})' \psi'(s) ds < \infty$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{\phi(s)\psi'(s) - \phi'(s)s\psi'(s)}{\phi(s)^2} ds \leq \int_0^t \frac{\psi'(s)}{\phi(s)} ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s_{\psi/\phi}} \int_0^t (\frac{\psi}{\phi})'(s) ds = \frac{1}{s_{\psi/\phi}} \frac{\psi(t)}{\phi(t)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{\phi(s)\psi'(s) - \phi'(s)s\psi'(s)}{\phi(s)^2} ds \geq \int_0^t \frac{\phi(s)\psi'(s) - \phi'(s)\psi(s)}{\phi(s)^2} ds \\ &= \int_0^t (\frac{\psi}{\phi})'(s) ds = \frac{\psi(t)}{\phi(t)}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $M(M_\phi, \Lambda_\psi) = \Lambda_{\psi/\phi}$. Using to this equality assumption $\sigma_{\phi, I} < 1$ and result from Theorem 7(ii) we obtain

$$M_\phi \odot M(M_\phi, \Lambda_\psi) = M_\phi \odot \Lambda_{\psi/\phi} = M_\phi^* \odot \Lambda_{\psi/\phi} = \Lambda_\psi,$$

and the theorem is proved. $\square$

Applying the above theorem to classical Lorentz $L^{p,1}$ and Marcinkiewicz $L^{p,\infty}$ spaces we obtain the following factorization results:

- Example 3.** (a) If $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$, then $L^{p,1} = L^{q,1} \odot M(L^{q,1}, L^{p,1})$.
 (b) If $1 < p \leq q \leq \infty$, then $L^{p,\infty} = L^{q,\infty} \odot M(L^{q,\infty}, L^{p,\infty})$.
 (c) If $1 < p < q \leq \infty$, then $L^{p,1} = L^{q,\infty} \odot M(L^{q,\infty}, L^{p,1})$.

What about factorization in classical Lorentz $L^{p,q}$ -spaces?

- Example 4.** If either $1 \leq r \leq p < q < \infty$ or $1 < p < q \leq r \leq \infty$, then

$$L^{p,r} = L^{q,r} \odot M(L^{q,r}, L^{p,r}).$$

In fact, if $1 \leq r \leq p < q < \infty$ then using the commutativity of r -convexification with multipliers (see property (g) in [MP89]) and Proposition 3 we obtain

$$M(L^{q,r}, L^{p,r}) \equiv M((L^{q/r,1})^{(r)}, (L^{p/r,1})^{(r)}) \equiv M(L^{q/r,1}, L^{p/r,1})^{(r)} = (L^{pq/[r(q-p)],\infty})^{(r)}.$$

Finally, by Theorem 1(iii) and Theorem 7(ii) with $\phi(t) = t^{r/q}$ and $\psi(t) = t^{r/p-r/q}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L^{q,r} \odot M(L^{q,r}, L^{p,r}) &= (L^{q/r,1})^{(r)} \odot (L^{pq/[r(q-p)],\infty})^{(r)} \\ &= (L^{q/r,1} \odot L^{pq/[r(q-p)],\infty})^{(r)} = (L^{p/r,1})^{(r)} = L^{p,r}. \end{aligned}$$

The case $1 < p < q \leq r \leq \infty$ can be proved by duality of multipliers and the above calculations.

Theorem 11. Let ϕ be an increasing, concave function on I with $0 < p_{\phi,I} \leq q_{\phi,I} < 1$.

- (a) Suppose that F is a symmetric space on I with the lower Boyd index $\alpha_F > q_{\phi,I}$ and such that $M(M_\phi^*, F) \neq \{0\}$. Then

$$F = M_\phi^* \odot M(M_\phi^*, F) = M_\phi \odot M(M_\phi, F).$$

- (b) Suppose that E is a symmetric space on I with the Fatou property, which Boyd indices satisfy $0 < \alpha_E \leq \beta_E < p_{\phi,I}$ and such that $M(E, \Lambda_\phi) \neq \{0\}$. Then

$$\Lambda_{\phi,1} = E \odot M(E, \Lambda_\phi).$$

Let us start with the following identifications.

Lemma 6. Under assumptions on ϕ from Theorem 11 we have

$$M(L^\infty(\phi)^{(*)}, F) = M(L^\infty(\phi), F)^{(*)} \equiv F(1/\phi)^{(*)}. \quad (36)$$

Proof. Since we have equivalences

$$z \in M(L^\infty(\phi), F)^{(*)} \Leftrightarrow z^* \in M(L^\infty(\phi), F) \Leftrightarrow \frac{z^*}{\phi} \in F \Leftrightarrow z^* \in F(1/\phi) \Leftrightarrow z \in F(1/\phi)^{(*)}$$

with equalities of the norms, the equality $M(L^\infty(\phi), F)^{(*)} \equiv F(1/\phi)^{(*)}$ follows. Let $I = (0, 1)$. We prove the equality $M(L^\infty(\phi)^{(*)}, F) = F(1/\phi)^{(*)}$. Clearly, it is enough to show that the following conditions are equivalent:

$$1^0 \ z \in M(L^\infty(\phi)^{(*)}, F),$$

$$2^0 \ \frac{z}{\phi(\omega)} \in F \text{ for every measure preserving transformation (mpt) } \omega : I \rightarrow I,$$

$$3^0 \ \frac{z^*}{\phi} \in F.$$

Moreover, we prove that

$$\left\| \frac{z^*}{\phi} \right\|_F \leq \sup_{\omega - \text{mpt}} \left\| \frac{z}{\phi(\omega)} \right\|_F = \|z\|_{M[L^\infty(\phi)^{(*)}, F]} \leq \|D_2\|_{F \rightarrow F} \left\| \frac{z^*}{\phi} \right\|_F. \quad (37)$$

$1^0 \Rightarrow 2^0$. Let $z \in M(L^\infty(\phi)^{(*)}, F)$ and take arbitrary mpt $\omega : I \rightarrow I$. Since $\left(\frac{1}{\phi(\omega)}\right)^* \phi = \frac{1}{\phi} \phi = 1$ it follows that $\frac{1}{\phi(\omega)} \in L^\infty(\phi)^{(*)}$. Whence $\frac{z}{\phi(\omega)} \in F$ and $\left\| \frac{z}{\phi(\omega)} \right\|_F \leq \|z\|_{M[L^\infty(\phi)^{(*)}, F]}$. Consequently,

$$\sup_{\omega - \text{mpt}} \left\| \frac{z}{\phi(\omega)} \right\|_F \leq \|z\|_{M(L^\infty(\phi)^{(*)}, F)}.$$

$2^0 \Rightarrow 1^0$. Let $x \in L^\infty(\phi)^{(*)}$ with the norm ≤ 1 . Take a mpt ω_0 such that $|x| = x^*(\omega_0)$. Then

$$|zx| = |z| x^*(\omega_0) \leq \frac{|z|}{\phi(\omega_0)} \in F,$$

because $1 \geq \|x^* \phi\|_{L^\infty} = \|(x^* \phi)(\omega_0)\|_{L^\infty}$. Thus $z \in M(L^\infty(\phi)^{(*)}, F)$ and

$$\|z\|_{M(L^\infty(\phi)^{(*)}, F)} \leq \sup_{\omega - \text{mpt}} \left\| \frac{z}{\phi(\omega)} \right\|_F.$$

$2^0 \Rightarrow 3^0$. Take a mpt ω_0 such that $|z| = z^*(\omega_0)$. Then

$$\frac{z^*}{\phi} \sim \frac{z^*}{\phi}(\omega_0) = \frac{|z|}{\phi(\omega_0)} \in F \text{ and } \left\| \frac{z^*}{\phi} \right\|_F = \left\| \frac{|z|}{\phi(\omega_0)} \right\|_F \leq \sup_{\omega - \text{mpt}} \left\| \frac{z}{\phi(\omega)} \right\|_F.$$

$3^0 \Rightarrow 2^0$. For each mpt $\omega : I \rightarrow I$ we have

$$\frac{z}{\phi(\omega)}(t) \sim \left(\frac{z}{\phi(\omega)}\right)^*(t) \leq z^*(t/2) \left(\frac{1}{\phi(\omega)}\right)^*(t/2) = \frac{z^*(t/2)}{\phi(t/2)} = D_2\left(\frac{z^*}{\phi}\right)(t).$$

By symmetry of F we obtain $\frac{z}{\phi(\omega)} \in F$ and

$$\sup_{\omega - \text{mpt}} \left\| \frac{z}{\phi(\omega)} \right\|_F \leq \|D_2\|_{F \rightarrow F} \left\| \frac{z^*}{\phi} \right\|_F.$$

The proof of (37) and also (36) is finished for $I = (0, 1)$. If $I = (0, \infty)$, then the existence of a measure preserving transformation $\omega_0 : I \rightarrow I$ requires additional assumption, in the first case that $\phi(\infty) = \infty$, which we have because $p_{\phi, I} > 0$. In the second case, we need to have $z^*(\infty) = 0$ when $z \in M(M_\phi^*, F) \neq \{0\}$. Suppose, on the contrary, $z^*(\infty) = a > 0$. Since $z^* \in M(M_\phi^*, F)$ it follows that $\frac{a}{\phi} \leq \frac{z^*}{\phi} \in F$ and $1/\phi \in F$ gives, by

maximality of the Marcinkiewicz space, that $1/\phi \in M_\psi^*$, where fundamental function of F is $f_F(t) = \psi(t)$. It means that $\sup_{t>0} \frac{\psi(t)}{\phi(t)} < \infty$. On the other hand, since $p_\psi \geq \alpha_F > q_\phi$ and $p_{\psi/\phi} \geq p_\psi - q_\phi > 0$ it follows that for $0 < \varepsilon < (p_\psi - q_\phi)/2$ and for large t we obtain

$$\frac{\psi(t)}{\phi(t)} \geq \frac{\psi(1)}{\phi(1) m_\phi(t) m_\psi(1/t)} \geq \frac{\psi(1)}{\phi(1)} t^{p_\psi - \varepsilon - (q_\phi + \varepsilon)} = \frac{\psi(1)}{\phi(1)} t^{p_\psi - q_\phi - 2\varepsilon} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

a contradiction. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 11. (a) We have

$$\begin{aligned} M_\phi^* \odot M(M_\phi^*, F) &\equiv L^\infty(\phi)^{(*)} \odot M[L^\infty(\phi)^{(*)}, F] && \text{(using Lemma 6)} \\ &= L^\infty(\phi)^{(*)} \odot F(1/\phi)^{(*)} && \text{(by Theorem 1(iv))} \\ &\equiv \{[L^\infty(\phi)^{(*)}]^{1/2} [F(1/\phi)^{(*)}]^{1/2}\}^{(1/2)} && \text{(using Lemma 4)} \\ &= \{[L^\infty(\phi)^{1/2} F(1/\phi)^{1/2}]^{(*)}\}^{(1/2)} \\ &\quad \text{(using the Krugljak – Maligranda result [KM03], Thm 2)} \\ &= \{[(L^\infty)^{1/2} F^{1/2}]^{(*)}\}^{(1/2)} \equiv \{[F^{(2)}]^{(*)}\}^{(1/2)} \equiv F^{(*)} \equiv F. \end{aligned}$$

Note that Lemma 4 can be used in the above equality since $0 < p_{\phi,I} \leq q_{\phi,I} < 1$ implies that the operators $H, H^* : L^\infty(\phi) \rightarrow L^\infty(\phi)$ are bounded. Moreover, $\alpha_F > q_{\phi,I}$ gives that $H^* : F(1/\phi) \rightarrow F(1/\phi)$ is bounded and $\beta_F < 1 + p_{\phi,I}$, which is satisfied, that $H : F(1/\phi) \rightarrow F(1/\phi)$ is bounded (see [Ma80, Theorem 1] or [Ma83, Theorem 1]).

(b) By the duality results

$$\begin{aligned} E \odot M(E, \Lambda_\phi) &\equiv E \odot M((\Lambda_\phi)', E') \equiv E \odot M(M_{t/\phi(t)}, E') \\ &\equiv E \odot M[L^\infty(\frac{t}{\phi(t)})^{(*)}, E'] && \text{(using Lemma 6 and symmetry of } E) \\ &= E \odot E'(\frac{\phi(t)}{t})^{(*)} \equiv E^{(*)} \odot E'(\frac{\phi(t)}{t})^{(*)} && \text{(by Theorem 1(iv))} \\ &\equiv \left\{ [E^{(*)}]^{1/2} [E'(\frac{\phi(t)}{t})^{(*)}]^{1/2} \right\}^{(1/2)} && \text{(using Lemma 4)} \\ &= \left\{ [E^{1/2} E'(\frac{\phi(t)}{t})^{1/2}]^{(*)} \right\}^{(1/2)} \\ &\quad \text{(using the Krugljak – Maligranda result [KM03], Thm 2)} \\ &= \{[E^{1/2} (E')^{1/2} (t^{-1/2} \phi(t)^{1/2})]^{(*)}\}^{(1/2)} && \text{(by Theorem 1(iv))} \\ &\equiv [(E \odot E')^{(2)} (t^{-1/2} \phi(t)^{1/2})^{(1/2)}]^{(*)} \\ &\quad \text{(by the Lozanovskii factorization theorem)} \\ &\equiv [L^2(t^{-1/2} \phi(t)^{1/2})^{(1/2)}]^{(*)} \equiv L^1(\frac{\phi(t)}{t})^{(*)} \equiv \Lambda_{\phi,1}. \end{aligned}$$

We must only control if the assumptions from Lemma 4 are satisfied in our case, that is, if operators H, H^* are bounded in E and in $E'(\frac{\phi(t)}{t})$. Since $0 < \alpha_E \leq \beta_E < p_{\phi,I} < 1$ it follows from Boyd's result that H and H^* are bounded in E (cf. [KPS82], pp. 138-139,

[BS88], Theorem 5.15 and [KMP07], pp. 126-129). The boundedness of H in $E'(\frac{\phi(t)}{t})$ is equivalent to the estimate

$$\left\| \frac{\phi(t)}{t^2} \int_0^t x(s) \frac{s}{\phi(s)} ds \right\|_{E'} \leq C_1 \|x\|_{E'} \text{ for all } x \in E',$$

which is true if $\beta_{E'} < p_{t^2/\phi(t),I}$ (cf. [Ma80, Theorem 1] or [Ma83, Theorem 1]). The last strict inequality means that $\beta_{E'} = 1 - \alpha_E < p_{t^2/\phi(t),I} = 2 - q_{\phi,I}$ or $\alpha_E > q_{\phi,I} - 1$ which is true because $\alpha_E > 0$ and $q_{\phi,I} < 1$. The boundedness of H^* in $E'(\frac{\phi(t)}{t})$ is equivalent to the estimate

$$\left\| \frac{\phi(t)}{t} \int_t^l x(s) \frac{1}{\phi(s)} ds \right\|_{E'} \leq C_2 \|x\|_{E'} \text{ for all } x \in E',$$

which is true if $\alpha_{E'} > 1 - p_{\phi,I}$ (cf. [Ma80, Theorem 1] or [Ma83, Theorem 1]). The last strict inequality means that $\alpha_{E'} = 1 - \beta_E > 1 - p_{\phi,I}$ or $\beta_E < p_{\phi,I}$, but this is true by the assumption. $\square$

Examples 5. (a) If $E = L^q, F = L^p$ and $\phi(t) = t^{1/r}$, where $1 \leq p < r < q < \infty$, then from Theorem 11 we obtain

$$L^{r,\infty} \odot M(L^{r,\infty}, L^p) = L^p \text{ and } L^q \odot M(L^q, L^{r,1}) = L^{r,1}. \quad (38)$$

Equalities (38) we can also get from (31) and (30). In fact, space $L^{r,\infty}$ satisfies upper r -estimate (cf. [Ma04], Theorem 5.4(a) and [KK05], Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.9), therefore for $p < r$ is p -convex with some constant $K \geq 1$ (cf. [LT79], Theorem 1.f.7). After renorming it is p -convex with constant 1 and we are getting from (31) the first equality in (38) with equivalent norms. On the other hand, $L^{r,1}$ satisfies lower r -estimate (cf. [Ma04], Theorem 5.1(a)), therefore for $q > r$ it is q -concave with some constant $K \geq 1$ (cf. [LT79], Theorem 1.f.7). After renorming is q -concave with constant 1 and we are getting from (30) the second equality in (38) with equivalent norms.

(b) If $E = L^{q,r}, F = L^{p,r}$ and $\phi(t) = t^{1/s}$, where $1 \leq p < s < q < \infty$ and $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, then from Theorem 11 we obtain

$$L^{s,\infty} \odot M(L^{s,\infty}, L^{p,r}) = L^{p,r} \text{ and } L^{q,r} \odot M(L^{q,r}, L^{s,1}) = L^{s,1}. \quad (39)$$

(c) If $F = \Lambda_\psi$ and $\alpha_F = p_{\psi,I} > q_{\phi,I}$, then from Theorem 11(a) we also obtain factorization (35) since $p_{\psi/\phi,I} \geq p_{\psi,I} - q_{\phi,I} > 0$.

Remark 8. In the case $I = (0, 1)$ the assumption $\alpha_F > q_{\phi,I}$ implies the imbedding $M_\phi^* \hookrightarrow F$, even the imbedding $M_\phi^* \hookrightarrow \Lambda_\psi$, where ψ is a fundamental function of F because $p_{\psi,1} \geq \alpha_F > q_{\phi,1}$ and $p_{\psi/\phi,1} \geq p_{\psi,1} - q_{\phi,1} > 0$ gives

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\phi(t)} \psi'(t) dt \leq \int_0^1 \frac{\psi(t)}{\phi(t)} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty.$$

Consequently, $M(M_\phi^*, F) \neq \{0\}$.

References

- [AS76] J. M. Anderson and A. L. Shields, *Coefficient multipliers of Bloch functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **224** (1976), no. 2, 255–265.

- [An60] T. Ando, *On products of Orlicz spaces*, Math. Ann. **140** (1960), 174–186.
- [AZ87] J. Appell and P. P. Zabrejko, *On the degeneration of the class of differentiable superposition operators in function spaces*, Analysis **7** (1987), no. 3-4, 305–312.
- [AZ90] J. Appell and P. P. Zabrejko, *Nonlinear Superposition Operators*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1990.
- [As10] S. V. Astashkin, *Rademacher functions in symmetric spaces*, J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) **169** (2010), no. 6, 725–886 [Russian version in Sovrem. Mat. Fundam. Napravl. **32** (2009), 3–161].
- [AM09] S. V. Astashkin and L. Maligranda, *Structure of Cesàro function spaces*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **20** (2009), no. 3, 329–379.
- [Be96] G. Bennett, *Factorizing the Classical Inequalities*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 120, AMS, Providence 1996.
- [BS88] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Academic Press, Boston 1988.
- [BM05] E. I. Bereznoi and L. Maligranda, *Representation of Banach ideal spaces and factorization of operators*, Canad. J. Math. **57** (2005), no. 5, 897–940.
- [BL76] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1976.
- [BB00] B. Bollobás and G. Brightwell, *Convex bodies, graphs and partial orders*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **80** (2000), no. 2, 415–450.
- [BL93] B. Bollobás and I. Leader, *Generalized duals of unconditional spaces and Lozanovskii's theorem*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **317** (1993), no. 6, 583–588.
- [BL95] B. Bollobás and I. Leader, *Products of unconditional bodies*, in: “Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis” (Israel, 1992-1994), Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 77, Birkhäuser, Basel 1995, 13–24.
- [BO78] Cz. Byłka and W. Orlicz, *On some generalizations of the Young inequality*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **26** (1978), no. 2, 115–123.
- [CDS08] J. M. Calabuig, O. Delgado and E. A. Sánchez Pérez, *Generalized perfect spaces*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **19** (2008), no. 3, 359–378.
- [Ca64] A. P. Calderón, *Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method*, Studia Math. **24** (1964), 113–190.
- [CV00] W. S. Cohn and I. E. Verbitsky, *Factorization of tent spaces and Hankel operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **175** (2000), no. 2, 308–329.
- [CRW76] R. R. Coifman, R. Rochberg and G. Weiss, *Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables*, Ann. of Math. (2) **103** (1976), no. 3, 611–635.
- [Cr81] J. Creekmore, *Type and cotype in Lorentz L_{pq} spaces*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math. **43** (1981), no. 2, 145–152.
- [Cr72] G. Crofts, *Generating classes of perfect Banach sequence spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1972), 137–143.
- [CKMP] M. Cwikel, A. Kamińska, L. Maligranda and L. Pick, *Are generalized Lorentz “spaces” really spaces?*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), no. 12, 3615–3625.
- [CN03] M. Cwikel and P. G. Nilsson, *Interpolation of weighted Banach lattices. A characterization of relatively decomposable Banach lattices*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **165** (2003), vi+127 pp.

- [Da69] G. Dankert, *Über Produkte von Orlicz-Räumen*, Arch. Math. **19** (1968), 635–645 (1969).
- [Da74] G. Dankert, *On factorization of Orlicz spaces*, Arch. Math. **25** (1974), 52–68.
- [DK67] G. Dankert and H. König, *Über die Hödersche Ungleichung in Orlicz-Räumen*, Arch. Math. **18** (1967), 61–75.
- [DMM03] A. Defant, M. Mastyło and C. Michels, *Applications of summing inclusion maps to interpolation of operators*, Q. J. Math. **54** (2003), no. 1, 61–72.
- [DSP10] O. Delgado and E. A. Sánchez Pérez, *Summability properties for multiplication operators on Banach function spaces*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **66** (2010), no. 2, 197–214.
- [DR00] P. B. Djakov and M. S. Ramanujan, *Multipliers between Orlicz sequence spaces*, Turk. J. Math. **24** (2000), 313–319.
- [Do74] I. Dobrakov, *On submeasures I*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) **62** (1974), 35 pp.
- [Gi81] T. A. Gillespie, *Factorization in Banach function spaces*, Indag. Math. **43** (1981), no. 3, 287–300.
- [HLP52] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1952.
- [Ha06] D. D. Haroske, *Envelopes and Sharp Embeddings of Function Spaces*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton 2006.
- [HM92] H. P. Heinig and L. Maligranda, *Chebyshev inequality in function spaces*, Real Anal. Exchange **17** (1991/92), no. 1, 211–247.
- [Ho77] C. Horowitz, *Factorization theorems for functions in the Bergman spaces*, Duke Math. J. **44** (1977), no. 1, 201–213.
- [HKM02] H. Hudzik, A. Kamińska and M. Mastyło, *On the dual of Orlicz-Lorentz space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), no. 6, 1645–1654.
- [HM00] H. Hudzik and L. Maligranda, *Amemiya norm equals Orlicz norm in general*, Indag. Math., N.S. **11** (2000), 573–585.
- [Hu66] R. A. Hunt, *On $L(p, q)$ spaces*, Enseignement Math. (2) **12** (1966), 249–276.
- [JR76] R. E. Jamison and W. H. Ruckle, *Factoring absolutely convergent series*, Math. Ann. **224** (1976), no. 2, 143–148.
- [JMST] W. B. Johnson, B. Maurey, G. Schechtman and L. Tzafriri, *Symmetric Structures in Banach Spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1979), no. 217, v+298 pp.
- [KK05] N. J. Kalton and A. Kamińska, *Type and order convexity of Marcinkiewicz and Lorentz spaces and applications*, Glasg. Math. J. **47** (2005), no. 1, 123–137.
- [KPR84] N. J. Kalton, N. T. Peck and J. W. Roberts, *An F -Space Sampler*, London Math. Society Lecture Note Series 89, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1984.
- [KM04] A. Kamińska and L. Maligranda, *Order convexity and concavity of Lorentz spaces $\Lambda_{p,w}$, $0 < p < \infty$* , Studia Math. **160** (2004), no. 3, 267–286.
- [KM04a] A. Kamińska and L. Maligranda, *On Lorentz spaces $\Gamma_{p,w}$* , Israel J. Math. **140** (2004), 285–318.
- [KMP03] A. Kamińska, L. Maligranda and L. E. Persson, *Indices, convexity and concavity of Calderón-Lozanovskiĭ spaces*, Math. Scand. **92** (2003), 141–160.

- [KM07] A. Kamińska and M. Mastyło, *Abstract duality Sawyer formula and its applications*, Monatsh. Math. **151** (2007), no. 3, 223–245.
- [KA77] L. V. Kantorovich and G. P. Akilov, *Functional Analysis*, Nauka, Moscow 1977 (Russian); English transl. Pergamon Press, Oxford-Elmsford, New York 1982.
- [KL10] P. Kolwicz and K. Leśnik, *Topological and geometrical structure of Calderón-Lozanovskii construction*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **13** (2010), 175–196.
- [KLM12] P. Kolwicz, K. Leśnik and L. Maligranda, *Pointwise multipliers of Calderón-Lozanovskii spaces*, Math. Nachr., to appear. Preprint of 41 pages published in June 2012 at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.1860>.
- [KR61] M. A. Krasnoselskii and Ja. B. Rutickii, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, Gosudarstv. Izdat. Fiz.-Mat. Lit., Moscow 1958 (Russian); English transl. Noordhoff, Groningen 1961.
- [KPS82] S. G. Krein, Yu. I. Petunin, and E. M. Semenov, *Interpolation of Linear Operators*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 1982 [Russian version Nauka, Moscow 1978].
- [KM03] N. Krugljak and L. Maligranda, *Calderón-Lozanovskii construction on weighted Banach function lattices*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **288** (2003), no. 2, 744–757.
- [KMP07] A. Kufner, L. Maligranda and L.-E. Persson, *The Hardy Inequality. About its History and Some Related Results*, Vydavatelský Servis, Plzeň 2007.
- [KM10] T. Kühn and M. Mastyło, *Products of operator ideals and extensions of Schatten classes*, Math. Nachr. **283** (2010), no. 6, 891–901.
- [LT-J80] D. R. Lewis and N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *Hilbertian and complemented finite-dimensional subspaces of Banach lattices and unitary ideals*, J. Funct. Anal. **35** (1980), no. 2, 165–190.
- [LT79] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces, II. Function Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1979.
- [Lo51] G. G. Lorentz, *On the theory of spaces Λ* , Pacific J. Math. **1** (1951), 411–429.
- [Lo53] G. G. Lorentz, *Bernstein Polynomials*, University of Toronto Press, Toronto 1953.
- [Lo64] G. Ja. Lozanovskii, *On topologically reflexive KB-spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **158** (1964), 516–519 (Russian); English transl. in Soviet Math. Dokl. **5** (1964), 1253–1256 (1965).
- [Lo65] G. Ja. Lozanovskii, *On reflexive spaces generalizing the reflexive space of Orlicz*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **163** (1965), 573–576 (Russian); English transl. in Soviet Math. Dokl. **6** (1965), 968–971.
- [Lo67] G. Ja. Lozanovskii, *On Banach lattices of Calderón*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **172** (1967), no. 5, 1018–1020 (Russian); English transl. in Soviet Math. Dokl. **8** (1967), 224–227.
- [Lo69] G. Ja. Lozanovskii, *On some Banach lattices*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **10** (1969), 584–599 (Russian); English transl. in Siberian Math. J. **10** (1969), no. 3, 419–431.
- [Lo71] G. Ja. Lozanovskii, *The Banach lattices and concave functions*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **199** (1971), 536–539 (Russian); English transl. in Soviet Math. Dokl. **12** (1971), 1114–1117.
- [Lo73] G. Ja. Lozanovskii, *Certain Banach lattices. IV*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **14** (1973), 140–155 (Russian); English transl. in Siberian Math. J. **14** (1973), 97–108.

- [Lo73] G. Ja. Lozanovskii, *On the conjugate space of a Banach lattice*, Teor. Funktsii Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen., Kharkov, No. 30 (1978), 85–90 (Russian).
- [Lo78a] G. Ja. Lozanovskii, *Mappings of Banach lattices of measurable functions*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. 1978, no. 5(192), 84–86 (Russian); English transl. in Soviet Math. (Iz. VUZ) **22** (1978), no. 5, 61–63.
- [Lo78b] G. Ja. Lozanovskii, *Transformations of ideal Banach spaces by means of concave functions*, in: “Qualitative and Approximate Methods for the Investigation of Operator Equations”, no. 3, Yaroslav. Gos. Univ., Yaroslavl 1978, 122–148 (Russian).
- [Ma80] L. Maligranda, *Generalized Hardy inequalities in rearrangement invariant spaces*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **59** (1980), no. 4, 405–415.
- [Ma83] L. Maligranda, *On Hardy’s inequality in weighted rearrangement invariant spaces and applications. I, II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983), no. 1, 67–74, 75–80.
- [Ma85] L. Maligranda, *Indices and interpolation*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) **234** (1985), 49 pp.
- [Ma89] L. Maligranda, *Orlicz Spaces and Interpolation*, Seminars in Mathematics 5, University of Campinas, Campinas SP, Brazil 1989.
- [Ma04] L. Maligranda, *Type, cotype and convexity properties of quasi-Banach spaces*, in: “Banach and Function Spaces”, Proc. of the Internat. Symp. on Banach and Function Spaces (Oct. 2-4, 2003, Kitakyushu-Japan), Editors M. Kato and L. Maligranda, Yokohama Publ. 2004, 83–120.
- [MN10] L. Maligranda and E. Nakai, *Pointwise multipliers of Orlicz spaces*, Arch. Math. **95** (2010), no. 3, 251–256.
- [MP89] L. Maligranda and L. E. Persson, *Generalized duality of some Banach function spaces*, Indag. Math. **51** (1989), no. 3, 323–338.
- [Ma74] B. Maurey, *Théorèmes de factorisation pour les opérateurs linéaires à valeurs dans les espaces L^p* , Astérisque **11** (1974), 1–163.
- [Mi70] D. S. Mitrinović, *Analytic Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1970.
- [Na95] E. Nakai, *Pointwise multipliers*, Memoirs of the Akashi College of Technology **37** (1995), 85–94.
- [Ni85] P. Nilsson, *Interpolation of Banach lattices*, Studia Math. **82** (1985), no. 2, 135–154.
- [ORS08] S. Okada, W. J. Ricker and E. A. Sánchez Pérez, *Optimal Domain and Integral Extension of Operators. Acting in Function Spaces*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 2008.
- [ON65] R. O’Neil, *Fractional integration in Orlicz spaces. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1965), 300–328.
- [Ov76] V. I. Ovchinnikov, *Interpolation theorems resulting from Grothendieck’s inequality*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **10** (1976), 287–294.
- [Ov82] V. I. Ovchinnikov, *Interpolation in quasi-Banach Orlicz spaces*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **16** (1982), 223–224.
- [Ov84] V. I. Ovchinnikov, *The Methods of Orbits in Interpolation Theory*, Math. Reports, Vol. 1, Harwood Academic, 1984, Part 2, 349–516.
- [Pi79a] G. Pisier, *La méthode d’interpolation complexe: applications aux treillis de Banach*, Séminaire d’Analyse Fonctionnelle (1978/1979), École Polytech., Palaiseau 1979, Exp. no. 17, 18 pp.

- [Pi79b] G. Pisier, *Some applications of the complex interpolation method to Banach lattices*, J. Analyse Math. **35** (1979), 264–281.
- [RR91] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York 1991.
- [Ra92] Y. Raynaud, *On Lorentz-Sharpley spaces*, Israel Math. Conf. Proc. **5** (1992), 207–228.
- [Re80] S. Reisner, *Operators which factor through convex Banach lattices*, Canad. J. Math. **32** (1980), no. 6, 1482–1500.
- [Re81] S. Reisner, *A factorization theorem in Banach lattices and its applications to Lorentz spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **31** (1981), no. 1, 239–255.
- [Re88] S. Reisner, *On two theorems of Lozanovskii concerning intermediate Banach lattices*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1317, Springer, Berlin 1988, 67–83.
- [Re93] S. Reisner, *Some remarks on Lozanovskii's intermediate norm lattices*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **41** (1993), no. 3, 189–196 (1994).
- [Ro86] W. Rogowska-Sołtys, *On the Maurey type factorization of linear operators with values in Musielak-Orlicz spaces*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **15** (1986), 11–16.
- [Ru79] Ja. B. Rutickii, *On some properties of one operation over spaces*, in: Operator Methods in Differential Equations, Voronezh 1979, 79–84 (Russian).
- [Sc10] A. R. Schep, *Products and factors of Banach function spaces*, Positivity **14** (2010), 301–319.
- [Sh68] T. Shimogaki, *On the complete continuity of operators in an interpolation theorem*, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I **20** (1968), no. 3, 109–114.
- [St92] T. Strömberg, *An operation connected to a Young-type inequality*, Math. Nachr. **159** (1992), 227–243.
- [St96] T. Strömberg, *The operation of infimal convolution*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) **352** (1996), 58 pp.
- [TJ89] N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *Banach-Mazur Distances and Finite-Dimensional Operator Ideals*, Longman and Wiley, New York 1989.
- [Wa63] S.-W. Wang, *On the products of Orlicz spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **11** (1963), 19–22.
- [Wo91] P. Wojtaszczyk, *Banach Spaces for Analysts*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1991.
- [Za66] P. P. Zabreiko, *Nonlinear integral operators*, Voronezh. Gos. Univ. Trudy Sem. Funktsional Anal. No. 8 (1966), 3–152 (Russian).
- [ZR67] P. P. Zabreiko and Ja. B. Rutickii, *Several remarks on monotone functions*, Uchebn. Zap. Kazan. Gos. Univ. **127** (1967), no. 1, 114–126 (Russian).
- [Zi71] M. Zippin, *Interpolation of operators of weak type between rearrangement invariant function spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **7** (1971), 267–284.

Paweł Kolwicz and Karol Leśnik, Institute of Mathematics of Electric Faculty
 Poznań University of Technology, ul. Piotrowo 3a, 60-965 Poznań, Poland
E-mails: pawel.kolwicz@put.poznan.pl, klesnik@vp.pl

Lech Maligranda, Department of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics
 Luleå University of Technology, SE-971 87 Luleå, Sweden
E-mail: lech.maligranda@ltu.se